

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0990551 DATE: 8/4/2009 ARRIVE: DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: ECONO AUTO PAINTING AND BODY WORKS
FACILITY LOCATION: 65 N.W. 18th Avenue
DELRAY BEACH 33445
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID TRANSLEAU PHONE: (561)276-3087
CONTACT NAME: Kevin or Dave Transleau PHONE: (561)276-3087
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/12/2008 / 6/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
(check \square appropriate box(es))
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANC (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution previnvolved in surface coating operations on methods a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid exce c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., d) implementing inventory control practices to pre) implementing management practices to reduce 1. spraying light colored coatings before date cycles?	e effective application with a minimum of overspray? essive coating? yes \square No waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? event spillage? Yes \square No event spillage? Yes \square No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantia	thout replacement? Tyes No ally different than that noted on the most Yes No ally different than that noted on the most Yes No id the owner submit a new and complete 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
A. New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment wit c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, disposition form and appropriate fee (Rule 6).	thout replacement? Tyes No ally different than that noted on the most Yes No ally different than that noted on the most Yes No id the owner submit a new and complete 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment wit c) replacement of existing equipment substantia recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, di notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office?	thout replacement?
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment wit c) replacement of existing equipment substantia recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, di notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office?	August 4, 2009

averaged monthly. Spray booth filters changed at least 1/week. Odors not observed off-site.