

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Governor

Jeff Kottkamp
Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole

Charlie Crist

Secretary

September 18, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL MarcT@rmusainc.com

Mr. Marc Bryant Tyson, President Ready Mix USA LLC Post Office Box 35538 Panama City, Florida 32413

Dear Mr. Tyson:

On July 28, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775061. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those sources specifically listed on the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Eina Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: Erin Christie, Ready Mix USA (erinc@reusainc.com)



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775061 DATE: <u>July 28, 2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>3:10 PM</u> DEPART: <u>3:12 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SANTA ROSA BEACH PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 750 Coochee Road		
SANTA ROSA BEACH 32454		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800		
CONTACT NAME: Erin Christie PHONE: (205)986-4856		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/14/2005 / 8/14/2010 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions		
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐Yes ☒ No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?		
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? No		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	□ No	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes	□ No	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?    Yes □ No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	No No No No No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>appropriate</b> box(es))			
T			
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions? Yes No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate em	nissions at the drop point to the truck? \(\begin{aligned} \Boxed{Yes} \boxed{\lefta} \No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rul	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace	cement?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different	nt than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F	AC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?			
Jennifer Waltrip	July 28, 2009		
	·		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
$\Lambda$	July 2010		
unific Walters			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
<b>COMMENTS:</b> A Department representative conducted an unannoun			
2009 at the Ready Mix USA concrete batch plant located in Santa Rosa Beach. The facility was not in operation at the time and no			
one was on site. The visible portion of the inspection was conducted from the locked gate.			
To aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yord, the site is covered in grovel and speed limit signs are posted at the entropes			
To aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard, the site is covered in gravel and speed limit signs are posted at the entrance to the plant. Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks with sprinklers.			
to the plant. 1188128ate is stored in time stated concrete while oreaks with sprinklers.			
Emissions from the batcher appear to be controlled by a partial enclosure and spray bar. There is also a fly ash silo and a cement			
silo, each with their own dust collector. The annual visible emissions test was conducted by H,S,&E Resources, Inc. on June 16,			
2009. No emissions were noted during the tests.			