

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775061 DA	TE: <u>4/17/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:21 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:28 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SANTA ROSA BEACH PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 750 Coochee Road			
	SANTA ROSA BEACH	32454		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800				
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 8/14/2005 / 8/14/2010 (effective date) (end date)			
	(end date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)		
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE SIGNIFICAN	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emis	sions tests conducted during this s	site visit according to EPA Metl	nod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
62-297, F.A.C.)?				
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)				
b) During the visi	ible emissions test, was the batchi	ng rate representative of the nor		
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) opera	tion are controlled by a dust col	lector, which is separate	
	collector, are the visible emission patching at a rate that is representate		e and duration? Yes No	
			 	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)					
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)					
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n				
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \(\subseteq \) No					
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes □ No					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
	le 🗌				
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable (4) the stationary (4) and (5) the stationary (4) the stationary (5) the stationary (6) the stationary (6) the stationary (6) the stationary (7) the stationa	ing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No				

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, application of water or environmentally safe dus emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and oth re-entrainment, and from building or work areas reduction of stock pile height, or installation of v particulate matter from stock piles? 	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: , stock piles, and yards? yes No st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
I r					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	· ·				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially d recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 	e owner submit a new and complete				
Chris Stoll	4/17/08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
-	April 2009				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
concrete facility located in Santa Rosa Beach. The facility was a inspection was conducted from the entrance of the facility. The facility emission units consist of three silos that are each equal last conducted on May 25, 2007. During the testing, there were July 7, 2008. To control unconfined emission from the unpaved yard, the height	nspection was conducted on April 17, 2008, of the Ready-Mix USA not in operation due to low concrete demand in the area. A visual quipped with a dust collector on top. Visual emission testing was a no visual emissions noted. The next testing has been scheduled for ght of the aggregate maintained at or below the top of the retaining				
walls. Water application is used when the facility is in operation	n and a five mile per hour speed limit sing is posted.				