

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		` <i>'</i> —	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O: 	
AIRS ID#: 0810180 DA	TE: <u>12/31/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:42 pm</u>	DEPART: <u>2:57pr</u>	<u>n</u>
FACILITY NAME: TH	IE GOOD EARTH CREMATO	RY		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 501 17TH AVE W			
	BRADENTON 34205	5		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: WII	LLIAM TOMPKINS PHON	E: (941)748-7756	
CONTACT NAME: K	Carin Tompkins	PHON	E: (
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 12/15/2008 / 12/15/20 (effective date) (end date)	013		
		· · ·		
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	CE MINOR Non-COM	<u> </u>	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PARTI DECTING DE	CORDUEEDING DECLIDE	D-1, (2.404.401.1		
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE te box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F	T.A.C.	
 (check appropriate Were there any ob Was a visible emissible 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA M		☐ Yes ☒ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demondays prior to the A 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission	s site visit according to EPA M nce, was an annual visible emi	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60	☐Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obecomes Was a visible emissible emiss	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.)	s site visit according to EPA M ance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapterssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	☐Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obesite 2. Was a visible emission of the factor of	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissis (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour	s site visit according to EPA M ance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days and tested according to EPA M ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days are agents and tested according to EPA M ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days prior to the submission of 100 days prior to	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obening and the second of the secon	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this estrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	s site visit according to EPA M ance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested according 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the factor of	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.)	s site visit according to EPA M ance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days are age basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	<pre></pre>
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesite in the second of the second	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia to 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission (CO) emissions equal to or to, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Metalter emissions test with results ence for (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected testing conducted with the sour	s site visit according to EPA M ance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested according and the steel according to 7% O ₂ and tested according	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	<pre></pre>
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity Was a visible emission of 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the Acquain of Rule 62-296.401. In order to demondays prior to demond on the feature of th	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia to 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission (CO) emissions equal to or to, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Metiter emissions test with results ence foot (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	s site visit according to EPA Mance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days prior to the date of the last formal of the control of the last formal of the last formal of the control of the last formal of the control of the last formal of the last	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes No Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	ombustion zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	C
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes ☐ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes □ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes □ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tires a 1.0 second gas residenc	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	M
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes ☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	M
this location?	⊠Yes ∐ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall 	out replacement?	⊠No ⊠No	
recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-	⊠No		
local program office?			
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?			
Wendy D. Simmons	12/30/08		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
	12/30/2010		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	<u> </u>	

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection review: No potential enforcement issues were found for this facility during my pre-inspection review. Inspection findings: No objectionable odors were noted upon my arrival at the facility. Mr. William "Billy" Thompkins provided facility records, answered checklist questions, and escorted me to the cremation unit so I could look at the operation of the equipment. The unit's indentification plate indicated it was a B & L N20AA with the serial number of 446-229-98. According to Mr. Thompkins, the unit began operating at 11:05am on this day. I noticed that the unit was in cool down mode and the temperature recording device indicated that it was 1650 degress in the secondary chamber. I reviewed chart recorder records from 12/26/2007 to 12/30/2008. I found several issues with chart records including temperatures below 1600 degrees, missing information such as operator information and weight indications, and also places where partial cadavers were accepted and cremated from S. E. & T. When I asked Mr. Thompkins about the S E & T cremations, he explained that they were torsos from a University(Southeastern Educational & Technical) that were left over after donations to the Anatomical Gift Registry. Mr. Thompkins expressed his concerns over the fact that these were okay to cremate, but not smaller body parts such as limbs. He did not understand what the difference was. Copies of MSDS sheets, maintenance records, Cremation Procedures, and chart records were provided to me upon request and are attached to this inspection report. In a follow-up phone conversation on 01/07/2009, I asked Mr. Thompkins who told him the torsos were approved as a body and he could not remember. After much discussion with the Department's permitting supervisor on the issue of cremating the above referenced torsos, it was determined that these bodies were actually considered medical waste and therefore, the facility should be referred to enforcement.