

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0951180 DATE: <u>4/17/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:30</u>	DEPART: <u>11:10</u>		
FACILITY NAME: EWELL/WINTER GARDEN				
FACILITY LOCATION: Hennis rd.				
WINTER GARDEN 34	1787			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGU	JRD BO <b>PHONE:</b> (40	)7)513-8587		
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/29/2008 / 3/1/2013 (effective date) (end date)				
(circuive date) (circ date)		J		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u> 1ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Method 9	(Ref.: Chapter		
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during		"No" then		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch	the visible emissions test?	."No" then □Yes □ No □Yes □ No		
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration?	the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the normal			
duration?  5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) opera from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission	the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the normal	- "No" then		
duration?  5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operations	the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the normal	- "No" then		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	r			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?   Yes   No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\sime\)Yes \(\sime\) N				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mi	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	<b>ES</b> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1 Cinca de lastinamentiam has them have				
Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		⊠Yes □ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Yes				
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-				
local program office?		⊠Yes □ No		
Assefa Hailemariam	4/17/08			
Assera Hailemariam	4/17/08			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	~4/17/08			
	1/1//00			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
<b>COMMENTS:</b> observed 2 ve and loading rate are 29.6tph at	nd 36.3 tph. also truck loading out is normal. ah			