

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0990542 DATE: 8/31/2011 ARRIVE: DEPART: FACILITY NAME: MATRIX COATINGS, INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3575 Investment Lane RIVIERA BEACH 334	404			
OWNER/AUTHORIZEDE Email: matrixcoating CONTACT NAME: Ja Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	ack Thygsen		PHONE: (561)848 Mobile: PHONE: (561)848 Mobile:		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?————————————————————————————————————					
 (check appropriate Is/Are the surface emission limiting Does the facility 	e box(es)) e coating operation(s) subject to g standard of Chapter 62-296.50 cause, suffer, allow or permit th odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A	o a VOC Reasonably 200, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2) the discharge of air po	Available Control Tech 210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A. Ilutants which cause or	nology (RACT) C.)	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)		
cycles? 2) recycling cleaning solvents?	of reducing VOC emissions by: ffective application with a minimum of overspray? ive coating? waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? vent spillage? Yes No Yes No Yes No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment withe c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	Yes No out replacement? Yes No ly different than that noted on the most		
Faith A. Martin	8/31/2011		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	08/2012		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: Satisfactory annual compliance inspection.			