

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
	: <u>3:11 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA FUNERAL HOME & CREMATORY						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1495 NW 17TH AVE						
MIAMI 33125-2347						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KEN ADAIR* Email: kadair@floridafuneralhome.com CONTACT NAME: KEN ADAIR* Email: kadair@floridafuneralhome.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/13/2012 / 9/13/2017 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (305)325-11 Mobile:  PHONE: (305)325-11 Mobile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): KEN ADAIR  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KEN ADAIR*?  If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still KEN ADAIR*?  If no, who is?:	- ☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Two Identicalhuman incinerators, Indust. Equip. and IE-43

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?      b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No □No
operation? 🖂 N/A	Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 12/14/2011  e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?      a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?      b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- X Yes	□No □No □No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	Yes Yes	
<ul><li>d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>		□No
If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check <b>v</b> box for each	•
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction -  Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li></ul>	- 🛚 Yes	□No
( Ir ,		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	<b>-</b> -	
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations  3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	∐No □No
4) Adjustments	X Yes	□No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	∐No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes     Yes	∐No ⊠No
e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical		<u></u> N0
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	_ **	
exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	∐ Yes	∐No
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check <b></b> ✓	only one
TARTIV. SECONDARI COMBUSTION ZONE TEMI ERATURES	`	h question)
1. Visit and the state of the s		
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat		
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	I No
		∐No
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	□ Vec	
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes on	□No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_	
<ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematical combustion.</li> </ul>	on	□No
<ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematical combustion.</li> </ul>	on	□No
<ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematical combustion.</li> </ul>	on Yes (check 🗸	□No □No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes	□No □No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes (check 🗸	□No □No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes (check 🗸	□No □No
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes  (check  box for each	NoNo only one th question)
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Yes  (check  box for each	NoNo only one th question)

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?				□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?  3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?  If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?  b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			<ul><li>⋉ Yes</li><li>⋉ Yes</li><li>⋉ Yes</li><li>⋉ Yes</li></ul>	No No No No		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION CO	MPLIANCE STATUS (check & MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	only one box)  SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	[ANCE			
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PR	<u>OCEDURES</u>		(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)		
operations comprising the facility; 2. If yes, did the facility provide writ  New or Modified Process Equipment 3. Since the last registration form suba. Installation of any new prob. Alterations to existing proc. Replacement of existing ed. A change in ownership?  If the any answer to 3a. – d.	ship or with a physical relocation or any other similar minor admirten notification within 30 days of or Change in Ownership:  mittal has there been  pocess equipment?  pocess equipment without replacent equipment with equipment that is  is Yes, was a new registration for	of the facility or any emissions unit distrative change at the facility? the change?	Yes   Yes	<ul> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> </ul>		
FRANK DELGADO  Inspector's Name (Please	e Print)	12/12/2012  Date of Inspection 12/2013				
Inspector's Signature		Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			
COMMENTS: KAYE ARLINGTO		SSIONS TESTS ON BOTH HUMA				

NO PROBLEMS WERE OBSERVED.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 11:09 am, Jan 04, 2013