

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPECTION</u> <u>TYPE</u> :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:		
AIRS ID#: 0250957 DA	ATE: <u>9/19/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:20A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:00A.M.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA FUNERAL HOME & CREMATORY INC.					
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 1495 NW 17 AVENU	E			
	MIAMI 33125-				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: B	RIAN GARGIS PHONE	2: (305)325-1171		
CONTACT NAME:	EVELYN VARGAZ	PHONE	:		
ENTITLEMENT PER	IOD: 11/15/2007 / 11/15/ (effective date) (end date)	2012			
IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS NCE MINOR Non-CO!		NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II. TESTING/R	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR	FMFNTS _ Rule 62-296 401 F	A C		
(check appropri	ate box(es))	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.			
(check ☑ appropriate any of the control of the c	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?-		☐ Yes ⊠ No	0	
 (check appropriate appropriate) Were there any of the control of the	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the ?	nis site visit according to EPA Me			
 (check ☑ appropriate appropriate) Were there any of the control of th	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second seco	nis site visit according to EPA Meiance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to 6	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?)	
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demodemodemodemodemodemodemodemodemodemo	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the nistrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applicate	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Selection of the standards testing Yes No Yes No Yes No)	
(check ☑ appropriate appropri	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the conducted during the conducted individual source completes AGP Notification form submistants and the conducted during the conducted durin	nis site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emiss sion, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applicat diffication form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 p	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Standards per million by)	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demodays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to democompleted within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basin 10 (Ref.: Chapte	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the conducted during the complex of the complex of the conducted during the complex of the conducted during the complex of the conducted during the conducted duri	nis site visit according to EPA Me- iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to EPA Me interval to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Soarts per million by reding to EPA Method Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No		
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demodays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to democompleted within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basing 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test properties of Particulate medians.	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the conducted during the conducted in the conducted during the conducted in the conducted during the conducted in the conducted in the conducted days prior to the AGP Not oxide (CO) emissions equal to conduct the conducted in the conducted to 7% O2 on an hour the conducted in the cond	nis site visit according to EPA Me- iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 parly average basis and tested according to the submission of the submission of 100 parly average basis and tested according to the submission of 100 parly average basis and tested according to the submission of the submission of 100 parly average basis and tested according to the submission of the submission o	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Sourts per million by reding to EPA Method A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropri	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the control of the	nis site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to 40 O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Soarts per million by reding to EPA Method A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropri	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second state individual source complete AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	nis site visit according to EPA Me iance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e iance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 purly average basis and tested according to the to 7% O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Soarts per million by reding to EPA Method A.C.)?		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber contact accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	mbustion zone in
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	ording of such
	fording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	— — —
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	⊠Yes □ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	⊠Yes □ No
6) Adjustments	☐Yes ☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐Yes ☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
	∐ i es ∐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematio	n
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	□Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	, — <u>—</u>
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes □ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>CS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	ut replacement? \overline{\top} Yes \overline{\top} No			
recent notification form?				
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?				
MARUFUL MALIK	9/19/08			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	9/09			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: On September 19, 2008 Frank Delgado accompanied me to this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection & attend the VE test. On site we met Evelyn Vargaz, the crematory operator, and BrianGargis, the owner. Ryan Petterson conducted the VE test. All certifications were posted. The facility had both crematories operating, unit one was at 1706 degrees F and unit two was at 1653 degrees F. Thermocouple was changed on January 24, 2008.