

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT OFFICE 470 HARRISON AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 16, 2013

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL dellis@readymixusa.com

Ms. Desire Ellis Environmental Manager Ready Mix USA, LLC Post Office Box 101868 Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Ellis:

On December 13, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Ready Mix USA Marianna Concrete Batch Plant ID 7770024. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Assistant Director

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager (wileyw@readymixusa.com)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D		Y(CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7770024 DA	TE: <u>12/13/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00</u>		DEPART: 12	:30		
FACILITY NAME: MARIANNA COUCH READY MIX USA							
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 2834 CALEDONIA ST						
	MARIANNA 32448-	4407					
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: dellis@readyr CONTACT NAME: W Email: wileyw@read ENTITLEMENT PERIO	/ILEY WILLOUGHBY lymixusa.com		PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(205)986-4800 (205)314-9942 (850)785-1934 (850)420-0292			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)							
⊠ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IFLIANCE SIC	MIFICANT	`Non-COMPLIA	NCE		
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): Mike Bedwel				check 🗹 ox for each o	•	
Brief Notes: The plant was in operation at the time of this inspection, and the annual Method 9 (VE) test was performed by Lisa Swain of HS&E Resources.							
2. Is the Authorized Repulsion, who is?: NA	resentative still Desire Ellis?			D	⊠ Yes	□No	
	cility provide an administrative still WILEY WILLOUGHBY?				Yes Yes	□No □No	
	cting VE test(s) during today's i ance authority notified at least 1				Yes Yes	□No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2cement silos,2aggr hoppers,1sand & 1 weigh hopper subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. Date of last inspection: 3/30/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and				
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards				
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	☐ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes	□ No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the				
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	☐ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	□ NO			
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No			
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_			
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No			
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	☐ N0			

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			(check ☑ only one		
		box for each			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No		
4.	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} \qquad 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} \qquad 1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}$ Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	•	□ No		
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛚 Yes	□ No		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	☐ No		

R	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
1.	Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of bot concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		box for each g question 2.)	question)		
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement an soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?) or Local Air Program by telephone, ay prior to changing location? ⊠N/∠	A 🗌 Yes	⊠ No		
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bus c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notified to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi	iness days following a relocation? Tication Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	.N/A Yes	□ No es □ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? ⊠N/A If YES, what was the purpose?				Yes 🗌 No		
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long co-located at the permitted facility?	\N/	A ☐ Yes A ☐ Yes	□ No □ No		
- CI	TANGE C					
CI	HANGES		(check ☑ box for each			
_	Iministrative Changes:			question		
2. <u>N</u> e	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ion of the facility or any emissions un ministrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No □ No		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is su d. A change in ownership?	nt?bstantially different?	Yes Yes	No No No No No No		
4.	If the answer to any question $3a d.$ is YES, was a new registre 30 days prior to the change?			☐ No		
C.	Mark Sumner	12/13/2012				
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/	Mark Sen	December 2013				
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection			

COMMENTS: Mike Bedwell, Plant Manager was on site. Lisa Swain, environmental consultant for HS&E Resources conducted an EPA Method 9 visual emission test of the plant's cement silo, fly ash silo, and weigh hopper (batcher) on 12/13/2012. No visual emissions were observed at the time of this test. During this test 26.7 tons of fly ash and 26.45 tons of cement were loaded into the facilities two silos, and 6 yards were batched to a ready mix truck. The results of this VE test are due at the Department within 45 days of the test.

This facility has a separate dust collector for each silo, and a spray bar with a metal shroud for the weigh hopper to ensure the dust from batching is controlled. One trucks was batching at the time of this inspection, and the spray bar's sprinkler heads appeared to operate as designed. Each dust collecter vent was observed at the time of this inspection, and no signs of excessive emissions were observed. The facility has well maintained records for fuel consumption by the vehicles (the plant is powered by the electricity power grid), and material batched on a monthly basis.

Dust control logs, bag house inspections logs, and BMP daily inspection logs were also available for review. The facility has wind breaks/binblock enclosures for the aggregate, and all stockpiles were stacked at the height of the enclosures to prevent wind erosion/entrainment of aggregate material.