

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0610003 DATE: 11/17/2011 ARRIVE: 10:21 A.M. DEPART	Γ: <u>11:00 A.M.</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-VERO BEACH READY-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 925 12TH ST				
VERO BEACH 32960-3714				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Scott Morgan  Email: Morgan, Scott C. [SCMorgan@cemexusa.com]  CONTACT NAME: Lonnie Hillery  Email:  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/22/2011 / 5/22/2016 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (561)232-4  Mobile: (561)232-4  PHONE: (772)525-5  Mobile:				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Lonnie Hillery, Service Representative  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still MARK PETRICK?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 10/07/1984  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?    N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  aconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidentissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	- X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check box for ea	only one ch question)
Date of last inspection: 10/07/1984     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	ا داد داد)	7
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles,		only one ch question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	o control unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include o</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals wh control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control</li> </ul>		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce air particulate matter?	rborne X Yes d entrainment of	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poin	at to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# ${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf \underline{3-CCB~Plant-split~silo(cement)comp~\#1w/cartridgedust~collector~subject~to~Reasonable~Precautions} \\$

PA	RT I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•		
2.	Date of last inspection: 10/07/1984  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
	1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No		
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No		

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #2w/cartridgedust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each		
Date of last inspection: 10/07/1984     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No	

### Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/three fabric filter bags subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 - CCB Flant-weigh hopper w/three labric inter bags subject to Reasonable Frecautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 10/07/1984     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?    C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	No No No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	<del>_</del>	□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

### **Facility Section (continued)**

	<u> </u>			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\boxtimes$	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of gas/yr 45 MM gal proparation o	ne/yr		?
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛		□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both		(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (  2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		g question 2.)	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.	)	Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificents.</li> </ul>	y prior to changing location?		□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing.  c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificator to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No
If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit	air construction or air operation per		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	e)? 🗌 Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	□ No □ No
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	on of the facility or any emissions un ninistrative change at the facility?	nits or 🛛 Yes	□ No ☑ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subded. A change in ownership?	?stantially different?		<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?		omitted Yes	☐ No
John Vigliotti	11/17/2011		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	11/2011		

**COMMENTS:** Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, met with Mr. Lonnie Hillery Plant representative, of Cemex Ready-Mix Plant of Vero Beach ("Company") at its facility located at 925 12th St. Vero Beach, Florida 32960. Mr. Vigliotti explained that the Department is conducting a baseline inspection and providing compliance assistance. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 9 V.E. testing Rule No. 62-296.413(2), F.A.C.;(thirty Min.), with a minimum Silo Rate of 25 Tons/Hr. During Loading. Rule 62-210.300(3) F.A.C. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions).

The last V.E. was conducted on 01/24/2011. The Ready- Mix Concrete Batching Facility utilizes cement, flyash, slag and aggregate materials to produce ready-mix concrete. Dust emissions generated during the filling of the plant's silos or loading of concrete mixer trucks are controlled by dust collectors.

The Emission Units are as follows:

EU-1 Concrete mixer truck load out (with A.C. & W. Dust Systems Model KR-1100 central cartridge dust collector.

EU-2-Single compartment flyash/slag silo (with C.& W. Dust Systems Model CP-305-839 cartridge dust collector).

EU 03-Cement silo compartment no. `1 (with A.C. & W. Dust Systems Model CP-305-839 cartridge dust collector).

EU-04-Cement silo compartment no. 2 (with a C.& W. Dust systems Model CP-305-839 cartridge dust collector..

The facility was found to be in compliance based on quantities and test reports received. Please see project file folder.