

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0170042 DATE: 6/17/09 ARRIVE: 10:20am DEPART: 11:00 am
FACILITY NAME: LECANTO EAST READY-MIX PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 2975 S LECANTO HWY
LECANTO 34461
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-8409
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5 If amissions from the weigh hamper (hetcher) apprection are controlled by a dust collector, which is compared
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?   Yes  No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ing  □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing  □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ing  □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yatching and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yatching and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yatching areas, an	ards, which shall include one or more of the follock piles, and yards?	owing: ☑Yes □ No		
emissions?	baved areas under control of the owner/operator treduce airborne particulate matter?  d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – F A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been		Jv Mn.		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		∃Yes ⊠ No		
recent notification form?				
Malik Pickering	6/17/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	6/17/2012			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

**COMMENTS:** All questions left blank are not applicable to this facility. Upon arrival at the facility there were trucks loading. There were no visible emissions observed during the truck load outs. This facility is ran off of electricity, so there is minimal fuel usage. All the product piles were wet from the sprinklers and the grounds were clean.