

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT ARMS COMI		Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0951174 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>1/14/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:0</u>	<u>0 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ROY	YAL TOUCH DRY CLEANER	RS			
FACILITY LOCATION	: 4525 Conroy Rd				
	ORLANDO 32811-744	42			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	DREPRESENTATIVE: JOS DD: 2/23/2008 / 2/23/2013 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)902-1297	
PART I: INSPECTION ☑ IN COMPLIANC	COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	· —		Γ Non-COMPLIANCE	
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, a both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, a both types, 144 (constructed b 5. Ineligible for d rop store/out facility exceed	I area source y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr <140 gal/yr sefore $12/9/91$) area source y, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr $0 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr arefore $12/9/91$) br General Permit t of business/petroleum / ds above limits	transfer on both types (constructe 4. New large dry-to-dry transfer on both types (constructe	only, $x < 140$ ly, $x < 200$ gal, $x < 140$ gal/y ed on or after 1 area source only, $140 \le$ ly, $200 \le x \le$ $140 \le x \le$ ed on or after 1	l/yr r .2/9/91)	
	volume of all perchloroethylene was 38.60 gallons.	(perc) purchases m	ade in each of	the previous 12 months by this	dry

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. I	Proce	ed to P	Part V.	
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below.				
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equiverefrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Compust have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refri	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 🗹 ox for each	
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	. 🖂	Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No	

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:						
Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No		
2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No	_	N/A
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes		No		N/A
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual	П	Yes		No		N/A
condenser coils?	_					
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A
		Yes		No		N/A
		Yes		No		N/A
		((check	V (only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		(bo	check ox for each	☑ o	only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ (ach q	only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ o	only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	check	ach q No No	only o	ne n)
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	(check ox for each	☑ (ach q	only o	ne
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check	nach q No No No No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	izach q ach q No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	oach quach q	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	No No No No No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	raph sl	hall satisfy th	ne
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as r	<u> </u>				
Ilka Bundy	1/14/2013				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	1/14/2015				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: Inspectors Ilka Bundy and Lawrence Ross conducted an inspection for the air general permit and for hazardous waste management. The misting unit lid was not on the unit during the inspection. Mr. Alce was asked to label the condensate container. All of the records were up to date and ready for the inspectors. No perc odors were detected during the inspection. Mr. Alce was told that his air general permit will expire on 2/23/2013. He stated he would re-register soon.