

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

160 W GOVERNMENT STREET PENSACOLA, FL 32502-5740

RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

December 9, 2013

Mr. Darryl Fales, President Preferred Materials, Inc. 25061 Old US Highway 41 South Bonita Springs, Florida 34135 dfales@preferredmaterials.com

Dear Mr. Fales:

On November 26, 2013, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 091075. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or via e-mail at christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Dickey
Kenneth Dickey

Environmental Manager

Compliance Assurance Program

KD/cs/c

Enclosure

c: Erin Zimmet, Old Castle Materials: erin.zimmet@oldcastlematerials.com Court Wyrick, Preferred Materials: cwyrick@preferredmaterials.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		· · · —		
AIRS ID#: 0910075 DATE: <u>11/26/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:15 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:35 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS	-CRESTVIEW			
FACILITY LOCATION: 5420 FAIRCHILE) RD			
CRESTVIEW 3	2539			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL FALES Email: Darryl.Fales@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: MARGARET CATER Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/25/2012 / 11/25/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (239)992-1400 Mobile: (239)229-6750 PHONE: (850)689-5177 Mobile:				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
	70			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>IG</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DARRYL If no, who is?:	FALES?			
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still MARGARET CATER If no, who is?: <u>Dan Swafford, eswafford@prefer</u>	L?			
Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	ay's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CEMENT CONCRETE BATCH PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(-117	1
	(check ☑ o box for each qu	nly one
	box for each qu	estion)
1. Date of last inspection: 2/7/2012	<u></u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ N/A ☐ Yes	No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ o	nly one
	box for each qu	•
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	•	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Y	<u>Y ards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to con	atrol unconfined	
emissions by:	ntor uncommed	
chissions by.		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or	r more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when ne		
control emissions?	X Yes	No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of t	the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn		
particulate matter?	<u>—</u>	No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind enti-	rainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	No
	1	□ N.
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	tne truck? 🖂 Yes	No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 4 -Weigh Hopper (Baghouse) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 2/7/2013	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	N/A Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(alaala Manaly one
	(check only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	<u>d</u> <u>Yards</u>
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to c	control unconfined
emissions by:	
	0.4 0.31
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when control emissions?	necessary to Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbo	
particulate matter?	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind e	
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes No
paraeutate matter from 50000 pros.	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	o the truck? X Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	/.tt 🔽l
	(check only one
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	<u>~</u>
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
2. Dear this facility includes	
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with	h the avaantian of
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
11 125, what not exempt aims of activities.	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such	n other air general
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same faci	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	•

a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes $A = A = A = A$	No No No No No No No No
Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? 2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
H DET AM ATADI E DI ANT.		
 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>) 	(check v box for each g question 2.)	question)
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	box for each g question 2. Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i> Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	box for each g question 2. Yes	question) No No No
 Is the facility: stationary \(\text{\tex	box for each g question 2. Yes	question) No No No No No No No

1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or

operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —					
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?				
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No				
Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes No				
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature Date of Inspection 2016 Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.					
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.					
Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.	Chris Stoll	11/26/2013			
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
COMMENTS: On November 26, 2013, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.	Chris Coll	2016			
Crestview Plant. The facility was not operating at the time of the inspection. The concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on February 26, 2013. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			