

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

December 10, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested cwyrick@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Court Wyrick Preferred Materials 1901B East 15th Street Panama City, Florida 32450

Dear Mr. Wyrick:

On December 2, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910075. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradbon

RB/cs/c

Enclosure



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

AIRS ID#: 0910075 DATE: <u>12/2/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:28 AM</u> DEPAR	T: <u>12:05 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-CRESTVIEW						
FACILITY LOCATION: 5420 FAIRCHILD RD						
CRESTVIEW 32539						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME Email: CONTACT NAME: COURT WYRICK Email: cwyrick@preferredmaterials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (770)392- Mobile: PHONE: (850)689- Mobile:						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADT H. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Court Wyrick Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DAVID GUILLAUME?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still MARGARET CATER?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CEMENT CONCRETE BATCH PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/29/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(1.1.7	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?		☐ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	- X Yes	No No No No No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal proparties the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	□ No
CI	ENIED AT CONDITIONS		
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check v box for each	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- ⊠ Yes	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pl		• '
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix ceme soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c be	Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departn e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one businb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ess day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	e business days following a relocation? Yes Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a se	eparate air construction or air operation permit,	∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rou If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No □ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑	only one
1		question)
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership	box for each other of the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes do days of the change? Yes	question) No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 	box for each above the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes along the change? Yes ement? Yes is substantially different? Yes	∑ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar min 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each anber of the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes	No No No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each anber of the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each above the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes 20 days of the change? Yes 20 days of the change? Yes 20 days of the change?	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each anber of the facility or authorized representative not elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes Yes is substantially different? Yes egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: On December 2, 2010, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the Preferred Materials, Crestview Plant. The plant manager, Court Wyrick, assisted me during the inspection. The facility was operating at the time of the inspection and no fugitive emissions were observed leaving the site. The facility appeared well maintained and operating in accordance with the condition of the air general permit.

This concrete batch plant has one centralized dust collector that controls emissions from the two silos and the loading spout. The majority of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined emissions. Water is also used as necessary to control dust.

Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the dust collector on March 17, 2010. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.