

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| <u>IN</u> | SPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA | NISCOVERY (CI) [| | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| ΑI | RS ID#: 1110087 DA | TE: <u>12/7/2011</u> | ARRIVE: <u>9:30</u> | DEP | ART: <u>11:00 am</u> | | | |
| FA | CILITY NAME: SH | ORT LOAD CONCRETE | | | | | | |
| FA | CILITY LOCATION | 3825 SELVITZ RD | | | | | | |
| | | FORT PIERCE 3498 | 31-4726 | | | | | |
| CO | WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: DNTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIO | D REPRESENTATIVE: RC DD: 2/21/2009 / 2/21/201 (effective date) (end date) | | PHONE: (772)46 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: | 66-5070 | | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes: | oresentative(s): | | | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) | | |
| 2. | Is the Authorized Reprise If no, who is?: | resentative still ROBERT MAI | NE? | | X Yes | □No | | |
| 3. | | ility provide an administrative till? | | | | □No □No | | |
| 4. | | eting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least | | | | □No □No | | |

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse vent, 294 Bbl cap subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of last inspection: 12/19/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? - If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opac c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | \overline{\overline{\text{N}}} Yes \overline{\overline{\text{N}}} No | , | | | |
| DARTH, EIELD ORGEDWATHONG, D.L. (2.40/.414/2) E.A.C. | | | | | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storag Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock | | | | | |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: | | | | | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall inc 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemic control emissions? | ds? X Yes No cals when necessary to | | | | |
| removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to red particulate matter? | r control of the duce airborne Yes No | | | | |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitiga particulate matter from stock piles? | | 1 | | | |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dro | op point to the truck? Yes No | , | | | |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No No No | | | | |

Facility Section (continued)

| CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | | (check only one box for each question) | | |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | X Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No | | |
| 2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | | ⊠ No | | |
| b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | ⊠ No | | |
| 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? | | No No No No No No | | |
| gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr \leq 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr | | | | |
| 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | imption Yes | ⊠ No | | |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS | (check ☑ or for each q | | | |
| 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | Yes | ⊠ No | | |
| Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all | X Yes | ☐ No | | |
| terms and conditions of the air general permit? | | ☐ No | | |
| to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | 1 | ☐ No | | |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: | | (check 🗹 | • | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\subseteq \) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>) | | | | | |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) | | - Yes | ☐ No | | |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificent | prior to changing location? | | ☐ No | | |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific | ess days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 | - Yes | □ No | | |
| to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five | | | ☐ No | | |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? | in that separate permit: | | ☐ No | | |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility? | | Vec | □ No | | |
| If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? | | | □ No | | |
| | | | | | |
| CHANGES (check ✓ only one box for each question) | | | | | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of | the facility or authorized representa | | 1 | | |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility? | its or - 🔲 Yes | ⊠ No □ No | | |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | | Yes | ⊠ No | | |
| b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership? | ?tantially different? | | No No No No | | |
| 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrati 30 days prior to the change? | | • | | | |
| | on form and the appropriate fee sub | - Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | on form and the appropriate fee sub | | ☐ No | | |
| Michelle Robinson | 12/7/2011 | | □ No | | |
| Michelle Robinson Inspector's Name (Please Print) | | | □ No | | |

COMMENTS: An inspection with visible emissions testing observation was conducted for Short Load concrete on Dec. 7, 2011. The testing was conducted by Bill Arlington of Arlington environmental services. The facility has one emissions unit a small cement silo. The cement was loaded at a rate of 38.2 tons per hour. Approximately 8 - 10 tons of cement was loaded during the testing and the pressure was between 8 - 10 psi. No emissions were observed during the testing. The authorized representative for the facility Robert Maine is deceased. The facility personnel explained that the company is still family owned and Mr. Maine's wife is now the sole owner. They requested to keep all the facility contact information the same. No change in ownership is necessary. The facility was found to be in compliance at the time of the inspection.