

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1110087 DATE: 12/19/08 ARRIVE: 9:45 DEPART: 11:15		
FACILITY NAME: SHORT LOAD CONCRETE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 3825 Selvitz RD		
FORT PIERCE 34981		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT MAINE PHONE: (772)337-0405		
CONTACT NAME: SAME AS ABOVE PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/26/2004 / 3/26/2009 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  papropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	t take reasonable precautions to control unconfined  nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards?   Yes  No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and oth re-entrainment, and from building or work areas  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of the stock pile height.	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to sto reduce airborne particulate matter?	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  Yes No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————		
JEREMY VINCENT	12/19/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	12/19/09	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: On 12/19/09, JV conducted a compliance inspection with visual emissions testing for Short Load Concrete located at 3825 Selvitz Road, Fort Pierce, FL 34981. Upon arrival, I met with Robert Maine, owner, and William Arlington of Arlington Environmental Services, who performed visual emissions testing.  The facility, a concrete plant, has one emissions unit; unit 001 is a small cement silo. I observed testing for visual emissions		
between 10:07 and 10:37 am. No emissions were observed duri	ing the testing, and a total of 26.96 tons of cement was loaded.	

The facility provided me with documentation for the delivery as well as total fuel consumed for the year at 10,513 gallons of ultra low sulfur diesel. Facility is in compliance at this time.