

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	ΓNO:		
AIRS ID#: 1010360 DA	TE: <u>04/21/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>	DEPART: <u>1431</u>		
FACILITY NAME: MORGAN FUNERAL HOME & CREMATION SERVICES					
FACILITY LOCATION: 6025 E TROUBLE CREEK RD					
	NEW PORT RICHEY	Y 34653-5299			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MERL FAUPEL PHONE: (727)847-3999					
CONTACT NAME: Steve Morgan PHONE: (727)247-3999					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/16/2009 / 4/16/2014 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.					
(chack M annronment	1 / \\	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.40	01, F.A.C.		
2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during th	his site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demondate to</li></ol>	ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source complex (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complex (60 days prior to the AGP Not kide (CO) emissions equal to consider the strate individual source complex (60 days prior to the AGP Not kide (CO) emissions equal to consider the strategy of th	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible of ssion, and within 60 days prio liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (for below the requirements of	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by		
<ol> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emise 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demonstrate days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate demonstrate demonstrate distribution.</li> <li>Carbon Monostrate days basis.</li> <li>(Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate mandary standard cubic.</li> </ol>	ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source complex (5)(i), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible of ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining appropriate to form submission? (for below the requirements of surly average basis and tested lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) are equal to or below the required to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested accord	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date?  plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method  7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5	☐Yes         No           ☐Yes         No           ☐Yes         ☐No           ☐Yes         ☐ No	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber continuous and secondary chambers where the secondary cha	mbustion zone in			
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?				
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?				
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the remeasurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording of such			
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	□Yes □ No			
2) Monitoring device	☐Yes ☐ No			
3) Performance Testing Measurements	☐Yes ☐ No			
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes No			
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	☐Yes ☐ No			
6) Adjustments	☐Yes ☐ No			
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐Yes ⊠ No			
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐Yes ⊠ No			
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)				
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)				
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)				
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:				
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	☐Yes ☐ No			
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No			
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature				
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No			
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to				
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	□Vaa □ Na			
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No			
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:				
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne			
@ 1800° F?	☐Yes ☐ No			
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes □ No			
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic				
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No			
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated				
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	☐Yes ☐ No			
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	y :			
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes □ No			
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at				
this location?	□Yes □ No			
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes No			
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur				
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No			
1 1 J				

	rage 3 of 3				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – ReA. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.				
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul></li></ol>	lacement?				
Joseph V Panetta	04/21/2009				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					
Previous inspection on 04/01/2009 a field warning notice was left for the					
following violations. A Preventative Maintenance schedule was not available for					
inspection and MSDS for plastics bags bur	rned not available for inspection.				

On this follow up visit MSDS was available. Although the preventative maintenance log was not available I left facility and later called the owner's office, Mr. Faupels. I was able to speak with Mr. George Morgan and explained the preventative maintenance log was not available from Steve Morgan. George Morgan said he would send them in ASAP. I asked for logs from 01/01/2009 through 04/01/2009. Those logs were sent to office and uploaded into GPCI with this report.

New Owner - Compliance Assistance - CWOE - Case Closed