

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: AN	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)			
RE	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775043 DATE:	5/26/09	ARRIVE: <u>12:08 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:21 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: MILTON SHEAR PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION:	6268 DaLisa Road MILTON 32571					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NOAH MCBRIDE PHONE: (850)477-2899						
CONTACT NAME: Erin Christie, Environmental Coordinator PHONE: (205)986-4856						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/13/2006 / 7/13/2011 (effective date) (end date)						
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECO</u> (check ☑ appropriate be		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	С.			
62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from si controlled to the exter 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represe unless such rate is una 4. Are emissions from the to this question is "Ye skip 4.a) and 4.b) and a) Was the batching of b) During the visible duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust coll	los, weigh hoppers (batchers) at necessary to limit visible er ons tests of the silo dust colle entative of the normal silo loanchievable in practice?	n), and other enclosed storage and missions to 5 percent opacity?	Tyes No d conveying equipment Yes No ing of the silo conducted mum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No st collector? (If answer ver is "No" then Yes No mal batching rate and Yes No mal batching rate and Yes No llector, which is separate			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
(eneck = uppropriate con(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?————————————————————————————————————
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)							
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))							
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)							
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant t	take reasonable precautions to control unconfined						
emissions by:	1 1 1:1 1 11: 1 1	1 .					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:							
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control							
emissions?							
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and oth							
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of						
particulate matter from stock piles? \Begin{align*} al							
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitiga	ate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No					
by use of spray our, chate, or partial enclosure to fining	are emissions at the drop point to the track.	Z1€5					
D. DE W. GDEGLIA GONDERONG LVD DD GGDDADAG	D. I. (2.240.200/4)/D. I. T. I. G.	1					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	– Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.						
A. New or Modified Process Equipment							
1 Cinca the last increastion has them have							
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?	Dv. Dv.						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	∐Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially d							
recent notification form?		□Yes ⊠ No					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or							
local program office? \Bysec Yes							
local program office.		∐Yes ∐ No					
Jennifer Waltrip	5/26/09						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
Λ ~							
() :/ . /) . / /	May 2010						
unite water		_					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						

COMMENTS: Department representatives conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on May 26, 2009 at the Pensacola Ready Mix Milton concrete batch plant located on DaLisa Road in Santa Rosa County. The plant was not in operation at the time and no one was present; thus, an on site review of records was not performed.

To prevent wind blown emissions, speed limit signs are posted at the entrance and the yard has been covered in gravel. Additionally, aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks with sprinklers attached. The plant has two upright storage silos each with their own baghouse, and a laydown silo with two baghouses. A spraybar is used to control emissions during loading of the trucks.

At the time of the inspection, the most recent annual visible emissions (VE) test on file was conducted on June 16, 2008. Zero emissions were noted at the time. The VE test for 2009 was scheduled for the morning of May 26, 2009. A summary of the test has not yet been received.