

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



 \boxtimes

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	\boxtimes	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 103 0397	Date:10/13/2010	Time In: 9:45AM	Time Out: 10:50AM
Facility Name:	Bay Area Business Clear	ners, Inc.	
Facility Location:	945 Huntley Avenue		
	Dunedin, FL, 34698		
Responsible Official:	Kenneth Schumann	Phone No:	727-733-0959
Emis. Unit Description:	Existing, Small Perchlor (12/8/1991) with a 10 HI	oethylene Dry Cleaner: O P No. 4 fuel oil fired boild	
Permit Number:	1030397-004-AG	Exp. Date:	9/12/2012
Facility Contact:	Kenneth Schumann	Phone:	727-733-0959
Compliance Status:			

PART I: NOTIFICATION (Check appropriate box)

1. **Existing** facility notified DARM by 9/1/96

2. New facility notified DARM 30 days prior to startup

3. Facility failed to notify DARM to use general permit

PART II: CLASSIFICATION

Facility indicated on notification form that it is:No Notification FormDrop-Off Store	Out of business Petroleum Solvent Only					
A.						
1. Existing small area source	2. New small area source					
Dry-to-dry only, x <140 gal/yr	Dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr					
Transfer only, x <200 gal/yr	Transfer only, x <200 gal/yr \Box					
Both types, x <140 gal/yr	Both types, x <140 gal/yr					
(Constructed before 12/9/91)	(Constructed on or after 12/9/91)					
3. Existing large area source	4. New large area source					
Dry-to-dry only, 140> x <2,100 gal/yr	Dry-to-dry only, 140> x <2,100 gal/yr					
Transfer only, 200> x <1,800 gal/yr	Transfer only, 200> x <1,800 gal/yr					
Both types, 140> x <1,800 gal/yr	Both types, 140> x <1,800 gal/yr					
(Constructed before 12/9/91)	(Constructed on or after 12/9/91)					
This is a correct facility classification X	\square N \square Can not determine					
If no, please check the appropriate classific	ation:					
Facility qualified for a general permit as number <u>above</u> .						
\Box Facility exceeds above limits and is not eligible for a general permit						
B. Highest 12-month consecutive total of perchloroethylene purchased in the preceding 12-month						
÷	s <u>June 2009</u> . Did facility exceed limits $\Box Y \boxtimes N$					

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS

Is the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility: (Check appropriate boxes)						
1. Storing perchloroethylene in tightly sealed and impervious containers?	⊠ Y	\Box N	□ NA			
2. Examining the containers for leakage?	⊠ Y	\Box N	□ NA			
3. Closing and securing machine doors except during loading/unloading?4. Draining cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at	⊠ Y	\Box N				
least 24 hours prior to disposal?	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	\square N	\Box NA			
5. Maintaining solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□ Y	□N	🖾 NA			

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS

verifying the coolant had been completely charged?

In Part II-A:

If classification (1) has been checked, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.						
If classification (2) has been checked, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser (complete A below)						
If classification (3) has been checked, the machine should be equipped with either a refriger	ated conde	enser or a c	carbon			
adsorber (complete A and B below). A Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to Sep	tember 22,	, 1993.				
If classification (4) has been checked, machine should be equipped with a refrigerated cond	enser (com	plete A and	l B			
below.)						
A. Has the responsible official of all new sources and existing large area sources: (check appropriate boxes)						
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? \Box Y N						
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	□ Y	□ N	\boxtimes NA			
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the	□ Y	□N	🖾 NA			
condenser upon opening the door?						
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated	$\Box Y$	\Box N	\boxtimes NA			
condenser on a weekly basis?						
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the	$\Box Y$	\Box N	$\boxtimes NA$			
condenser exceeded 45 [°] F?						
5. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool down period and after $\Box Y \Box N \boxtimes NA$						

H:\users\Templates\DryCln.doc

B.	Has the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	
1.	Measured and recorded the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	⊠Y □N
2.	Measured and recorded the washer exhaust tem ⁻ e at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	□Y □N □NA
	Is the temperature differential equal to on $^{\circ}$ F?	□Y □N □NA
3.	Measured and recorded the concentration veekly at the end of the	
	final drying cycle while the pe is venting the period the period with a carbon addition?	ΠΥ ΠΝ ΠΝΑ
	Is the period or less the ppm?	$\square Y \square N \square NA$
4.	Assured that the s group on adsorber exhaust for measuring perc.	
	concentrations is at duct data ers downstream of any bend, contraction, or	
	expansion; is at least very liameters upstream from any bend contraction, or expansion; and downstream from neurin inlet?	□Y □N □NA
_		
5.	Equipped transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	□Y □N □NA
6.	Routed airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	□Y □N □NA

PART	PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS						
Has the responsible official: (Check appropriate boxes)							
1.	Maintained receipts for perc purchased?	⊠Y	□N				
2.	Maintained rolling monthly averages of perc consumption?	⊠Y	□N				
3.	Maintained leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:a. Documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;b. Documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	□Y □Y	$ \squareN \boxtimes NA \\ \squareN \boxtimes NA $				
4.	Maintained calibration data? (direct reading instruments only)	ΠY	□N ⊠NA				
5.	Maintained exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	ΠY	□N ⊠NA				
6.	Maintained startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	⊠Y	□N				
7.	Maintained deviation reports? Problem corrected?	$ \Box Y \\ \Box Y $	$ \squareN \boxtimes NA \\ \squareN \boxtimes NA $				
8.	Maintained compliance plan, if applicable?	ΠY	□n ⊠na				

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS

1.	Does the responsible official conduct weekly le	ak det	ection a	nd repair inspection?	$\boxtimes Y$	□N
2.	Which method of detection does the responsible official use?					□N
	Visual examination (condensed solvent of	exteri	or surfac	ces)	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Physical detection (airflow felt through ga	skets)			$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Odor (noticeable perc odor)				$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FII	D/PID/	calorime	tric tubes)	$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$
	If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the	equip	ment:		ΠY	ΠN
	a. Capable of detecting perc vapor concen	tration	s in a rai	nge of 0-500 ppm	ΠY	ΠN
	b. Calibrated against a standard gas prior t	to and	after eac	h use (PID/FID only).	ΠY	ΠN
	c. Inspected for leaks and obvious signs of	f wear	on a wee	ekly basis?	ΠY	$\Box N$
	d. Kept in a clean and secure area when not in use.					
	e. Verified for accuracy by use of duplicate samples (calorimetric only)?					ΠN
3.	. Has the facility maintained a leak log?			$\Box Y$	$\Box N$	
4.	The following area should be checked for leaks	s by th	e opera	tor:	$\Box Y$	□N
	Hose connections, fitting couplings, and valves	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N	Muck cookers	$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$
	Door gaskets and seating	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N	Stills	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Filter gaskets and seating	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N	Exhaust dampers	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Pumps	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N	Diverter valves	$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$
	Solvent tanks and containers	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N	Cartridge Filter housing	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N
	Water separators	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N			
	1	<u> </u>				

Shea Jackson	October 13, 2010
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	Within one year of this inspection
Inspector's Signature	Date of Next Inspection

T

Γ

System Inspection and Leak Detection

Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, smell or touch) while the system is in operation (§63.322(k))? (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection of perceptible leaks.) $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N \quad \Box NA$

Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph shall satisfy the requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l). \boxtimes Y \square N \square NA

- (1) Hose and pipe connections, fittings, couplings, and valves;
- (2) Door gaskets and seatings;
- (3) Filter gaskets and seatings;
- (4) Pumps;
- (5) Solvent tanks and containers;
- (6) Water separators;
- (7) Muck cookers;
- (8) Stills;
- (9) Exhaust dampers;
- (10) Diverter valves; and
- (11) All Filter housings

Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to the manufacturer's instructions? $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N \quad \Box NA$

Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along the interface periphery? $\square Y \square N \square NA$

Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume? $\Box Y \quad \Box N \quad \boxtimes NA$

Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume and indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N \quad \Box NA$

ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION


Facility Name:Bay Area Business Cleaners, Inc.ARMS #:103 0397

Inspection Comments:

- *I met with the responsible official Mr. Kenneth Schumann.*
- I reviewed the 2009 and 2010 calendar Bi weekly leak check records. The calendar record and the monthly 12 month consecutive Perc totals were up to date. The highest 12 month total was for June 2009 at 69.8 gallons, the current total was 30 gallons
- Mr. Schumann maintains the purchase receipts for the perchloroethylene and Hazardous waste manifest copies within the calendar record. The purchases invoice for March 2010 was 19.3 gallons of perchloroethylene.
- The most recent invoice was 6/5/2010 for the disposal of perc waste and filter cartridges. Mr. Schumann's procedure for filter change out is to leave the Perchloroethylene cartridges in over the weekend. Then operates dry to dry 3 cycles and recovers the perchloroethylene. He stated he does not use as much perc since fixed leaks, and the 12 month totals also indicate a decrease in perc usage.
- The temperature recording is not required for existing small machines classification.
- I observed the HP 25 dry to dry machine; was in operation at this time.
- *I did not detect perchloroethylene odors during this inspection and observation of the dry to dry machine (See photos).*
- The separator water is then transferred to the Galaxy Mister for evaporation. (See photo)
- The shutdown procedures and the emergency plan and contacts are posted on the dryer (See photo).
- The hazardous material drums and water evaporator were located in the secondary containment to prevent perchloroethylene leakage. The evaporator cartridges had been changed 7/1/2010.
- *I gave him the P2R2 pamphlet and brochure with the dry cleaner summary handout.*
- The facility was now operating in compliance of the general permit conditions.

ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION

Facility Name	: Bay Area I	Business Cleaners, Inc.					
ARMS #:	103 0397						
Machine #1:							
Manufacturer	HP 25		Capacity	lbs			
Model#			Serial#	Mfg yr			
Machine #2:							
Manufacturer			Capacity	lbs			
Model#			Serial#	Mfg yr			
Notification (u	inpermitted sou	rces only):					
1. Was the faci	lity assisted in fi	lling out the notification	by the inspector?	$\Box Y$	⊠N		
2. Did the facil	ity insist on filli	ng out its own notificatio	on, and will send it to FDEP?	$\Box Y$	⊠N		
Record keepir	ng:						
1. Does facility	have statement/	specs as to the design ac	ccuracy of the temperature sensor?	$\Box Y$	⊠N		
(Tempe	erature of 45 ⁰ F w	$/accuracy + / - 2^{0}F$, or 7.2	2EC w/accuracy of $+/-1.1^{\circ}$ C)				
Hazardous W	aste:						
-	1. Is all perc. contaminated wastewater either treated or disposed of properly? $\square N$						
2. If wastewate	2. If wastewater is evaporated, is it an approved system, and using carbon filtration? \square N						
3. Does the fac	ility have second	lary containment for the	dry-dry machine?	$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$	□N		
4. Does the fac	4. Does the facility have secondary containment for any perc. waste containers? $\square Y \square N$						
Boiler:							
Manufacturer	Hurst			Нр	25		
Model #		Seri	ial #	Mfg yr	1991		
Fuel Type:	Natural gas?	⊠ Propa	ne? \Box Fuel oil? \Box				
Comments:	<i>The boiler is ex of the facility.</i>	empt from permitting, an	nd located in a second storage build	ing on the	east side		
1							



 Project Id:
 75674
 Permit No: 1030397-004-AG
 Arms Number: 0397

 Inspector:
 Shea Jackson
 Inspection Date / Time: 10/13/2010 / _____

 Source (EU):
 Existing, Small Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaner: One Dry-to-dry machine

 (12/8/1991) with a 10 HP No. 4 fuel oil fired boiler

Description: [The HP 25 was operating in the wash cycle. There were no perc odors detected during observation of the machine]



 Project Id:
 75674
 Permit No: 1030397-004-AG
 Arms Number: 0397

 Inspector:
 Shea Jackson
 Inspection Date / Time: 10/13/2010 / _____


 Source (EU):
 Existing, Small Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaner: One Dry-to-dry machine

 (12/8/1991) with a 10 HP No. 4 fuel oil fired boiler

Description: [The machine was in a wash cycle the temperature was indicating 28C at the time of inspection.]



Project Id:75674Permit No: 1030397-004-AGArms Number: 0397Inspector:Shea JacksonInspection Date : 10/13/2010Source (EU):Existing, Small Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaner: One Dry-to-dry machine
(12/8/1991) with a 10 HP No. 4 fuel oil fired boilerDescription:[This is the evaporator which filters had been changed as marked on canister on
7/2010]



Project Id:75674Permit No: 1030397-004-AGArms Number: 0397Inspector:Shea JacksonInspection Date : 10/13/2010Source (EU):Existing, Small Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaner: One Dry-to-dry machine
(12/8/1991) with a 10 HP No. 4 fuel oil fired boilerDescription:[The records, purchase orders and waste manifest were up to date and kept in the
calendar]

C:\GPCI\Application\files_to_convert\5696\298009\1030397 75674.doc