

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 8, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL brians@andersoncolumbia.com

Mr. Brian P. Schreiber, CEO A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056

Dear Mr. Schreiber:

On July 8, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Anderson Columbia Crusher at the Marianna Facility ID 7775042. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Cooey

Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Mr. Victor Keisker, Anderson Columbia: (victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com)

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC}}{\textbf{PLANTS}} \frac{\textbf{MINERAL}}{\textbf{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775042 DA	TE: <u>7/8/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u>		DEPART:	12:00	
FACILITY NAME: AC	CI-RELOC CONCRETE & A	SPHALT NMMP				
FACILITY LOCATION	1: 2316 HWY 71					
	MARIANNA 32448	3-2534				
Email: brians@ander		RIAN SCHREIBER	Mobile:	(386)752-7585		
Email: scottc@ander	CONTACT NAME: SCOTT CLEVELAND Email: scottc@andersoncolumbia.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2008 / 3/15/2013 (effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	RODUCTORY MEETING				(check ☑ box for each	-
1. Name(s) of facility rep	oresentative(s): <u>Vic Keisker</u>					
Brief Notes: This NMMP is inactive. It has not been used since the last inspection. The owner has notified the Department that no additional testing will be performed on this equipment unless the situation arises where it will be reactivated. At that time the equipment must be retested as required.						
2. Is the Authorized Reprint If no, who is?: na	resentative still BRIAN SCHR	EIBER?			Yes	□No
	cility provide an administrative still SCOTT CLEVELAND?				☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least				Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No

Emissions Unit Section 1 – ASTEC MODEL ACCI HM1166 CRUSHER

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		•
	[Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Gran Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chla and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borat and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermi (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ity ite, d Gravel; Salt; oride, x, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	- L Yes	∐No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☒ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) Discretely building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	∟No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ v	□ N.
Q	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	∐No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	- Yes	□No
N.	ote: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut	down and is	out of
	vice.	, uomi anu is	out or

1 -ASTEC MODEL ACCI HM1166 CRUSHER

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or			
belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or			
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate			
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin	-		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	₽d		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\Box	Yes	□No
grinding min or storage our in the production mie.		105	
{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract			
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If many of the sin Or actions 5, 10, about in "Ver" than the FIL in act which the			
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
if the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.			
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
,,,,,,			
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?	П	Yes	□No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	=	Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	=	Yes	□No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	\Box	3 7	□ M.
initial startup of the EU? \ N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	Ш	Yes	∐ No
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}			
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	_	Yes	□No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	_	Yes	□No
a e.e amain region of consistent from non-vent ountaing openings less than of equal to 770 opacity.		- 00	
Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut o	lown	and is o	ut of
service.			

1 -ASTEC MODEL ACCI HM1166 CRUSHER

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_	_
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
and		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut eservice.	down and is	out of

1 -ASTEC MODEL ACCI HM1166 CRUSHER

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A Yes	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particulai	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			_		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliant.				∐No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? L Yes	∐No	
22 Is a suct someth or used to control or			□ Vaa	□ Na	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				□No	
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through th	2		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				□No	
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	III 1250		
and	BB ure . j				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ober and the		
device has been calibrated on ar				No	
{Note: The monitoring device n	nust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%	_	
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducted			_	_	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years? Yes	∟No	
	b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:				
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?				∐No	
11. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?	Yes	No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	nor/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?	Yes	□No	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				□No	
Rate:	beess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate.	103		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				□No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)			Yes	□No	
1	1 7	,	_	_	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	□No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No	
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			Yes	□No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			_	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No	
	VE Onac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO E	U	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, mo		
	Subpart OOO	The state of the s	or reconstructed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Subpart 000	or reconstructed prior		OH OI	
Couch on with an a continue and	200/	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		

Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut down and is out of service.

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	□ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)?	Yes	□ No
c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? \[\] N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut service.	down and is	out of
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	only one
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	•
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
		□No

a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes	□No
ll b) 22 000 gallons of gasoling?		No
b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		No
d) 1.3 million gallons of propane?		No
e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		
e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	∐ Yes	∐No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propa		
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane	e/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		□No
Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut service	t down and is o	out of
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ o	only one
1. Has the owner or energical allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control devices or	box for each q	uestion)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air		
pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	□No
2. Does the owner or operator:		
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	□No
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	S	
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
permit and Department rules?	- L Yes	□No
Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this time because the equipment has been shut	down and is o	out of
service.	down and is	.ut 01
RELOCATABLE PLANT	(check ☑ o	only one
	(check 🗹 o	
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable	`	
	`	
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	`	
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: 	`	
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, 	box for each q	uestion)
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? 	box for each q	
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, 	box for each q Yes	uestion)
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	box for each q Yes Yes Yes	uestion)
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera 	box for each q Yes Yes Yes	uestion)
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: 	box for each q Yes Yes Yes tion	uestion) NoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	box for each q Yes Yes Yes tion	uestion)
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	box for each q Yes Yes Yes tion	uestion) NoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	box for each q Yes Yes Yes tion	uestion) NoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	box for each q Yes Yes Yes tion	uestion) NoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	□ Yes [] Yes [] Yes tion - □ Yes	No
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	□ Yes 5)] □ Yes tion - □ Yes	NoNoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	□ Yes [] Yes [] Yes tion - □ Yes	No
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	□ Yes 5)] □ Yes tion - □ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	NoNoNoNo
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	□ Yes 5)] □ Yes tion - □ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	NoNoNoNo

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 only box for each ques	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar min If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3. 	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes]No]No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Note: This section is not applicable for this facility at this tis service.	Yes Yes]No]No]No]No]No of
C. Mark Sumner	July 8, 2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen	July 2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: This crusher has been taken out of service. According to the operator there are currently no plans to use this equipment. The last VE test was conducted on February 20, 2008. The owner has notified the Department that no additional testing will be performed on this equipment unless the situation arises where it will be reactivated. At that time the equipment must be retested as required. Currently the NMMP is in storage at the Anderson Columbia Marianna Facility, and at the time of this inspection the plant appears to be inoperable.