

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DIS					
AIRS ID#: 0951153 DA ′	ГЕ: <u>7/19/2011</u>	ARRIVE: 09:00AM	<u> DEPART: 09:30AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: MR	CLEAN DRY CLEANERS						
FACILITY LOCATION	3001 N GOLDENROD R	D					
	WINTER PARK 32792						
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: JITEN DD: 2/25/2010 / 2/25/2015 (effective date) (end date)	N F	PHONE: (407)718-0151 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
	LASSIFICATION - Rule 62-2 only one box in A)	213.300 FAC					
transfer only, both types, x - (constructed by the state of the state	ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)	4. New large area dry-to-dry only, transfer only, 20 both types, 140	x < 140 gal/yr < 200 gal/yr 140 gal/yr or after 12/9/91)				
	volume of all perchloroethylene (pwas 0.00 gallons.	perc) purchases made i	n each of the previous 12 months by this dry				

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC					only o			
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A		
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A		
3.	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes		No				
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A		
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A		
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A		
	ART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)								
	1. If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. P	rocee	ed to P	art V	_				
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.								
3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993									
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.								
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :					only o			
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes		No				
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A		
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A		
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a		**		N.				
ľ	refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	Ш	No	Ш	N/A		
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes		No		N/A		

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)								
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:								
Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No				
2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No	_	N/A		
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes		No		N/A		
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A		
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A		
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A		
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual	П	Yes		No		N/A		
condenser coils?	_							
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A		
		Yes		No		N/A		
		Yes		No		N/A		
		((check	V (only o	ne		
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		(bo	check ox for each	☑ o	only o	ne		
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ (ach q	only o	ne		
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ o	only o	ne		
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	check	ach q No No	only o	ne n)		
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	(check ox for each	☑ (ach q	only o	ne		
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check	nach q No No No No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A		
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	izach q ach q No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A		
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	oach quach q	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A		
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A		
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	No No No No No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A		

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check ☑ only		
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)	
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used				
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to				
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate				
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No		
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer				
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of				
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along				
	the interface periphery? \boxtimes	Yes	☐ No		
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or				
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per				
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations				
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and				
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting				
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the	
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?				
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)	
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A	
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector	
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph of the system)	raph sh	hall satisfy th	ne	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))				
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A	

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ On-site observation ☐ other Explain other:						
Assefa Hailemariam	7/19/2011					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	~7/2012					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					

COMMENTS: The facility was found to be in compliance with their air permit for the inspection that was conducted on this date. The dry cleaning machine was not operating at the time of the inspection. A halogen leak detector is being used by the facility to comply with the EPA requirement. The perchloroethylene hazardous waste container was labeled, but no date was documented on the label. The waste container for the muck cooker did not have a lid. During the inspection, the facility owner stated that he did not purchase any perc for almost two years and the dry cleaning machine was not being used for more than ayear. Any dry cleaning work he sends to afriend. He also stated he drained all the perc from the machine. The inspector checked the machine for leaks using EPD's halogen leak detector. No perchloroethylene vapors were found during the inspection on this date.