

## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0730096 DAT		ARRIVE: <u>11:15</u>	DEPART:	
FACILITY LOCATION	: 3044 W THARPE ST	03-1186		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED CONTACT NAME: Go ENTITLEMENT PERIO		M RICHARDSON PHONE: PHONE:	(850)576-7737	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b  3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, 14	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr efore 12/9/91)	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 g transfer only, x < 200 gal/y both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12  4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1, both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,80 (constructed on or after 12	yr /9/91) 	
5. Ineligible for drop store/out facility exceed	General Permit of business/petroleum ls above limits of perchloroethylene (perc) pu	rchased within the preceding 12 m		

PA	RT III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check <b>☑</b> only one box
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
	RT IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)	
	1. If the facility classification is a <b>Existing small</b> area source, no controls are requi	ired. Proceed to Part V.
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be econdenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>	quipped with a refrigerated
	3. If the facility classification is a <b>Existing large area source</b> , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. <b>Complete both sections A and B belo</b> <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>	
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. <b>Complete both sections A and B below.</b>	quipped with a refrigerated
<b>A.</b>	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large</u> <u>area &amp; new sources</u> :	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	⊠Yes □No
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	Yes No N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes No N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	□Yes ⊠No
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- Yes No N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	∐Yes ⊠No

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)	
B. Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	□Yes ⊠No
2. Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	☐Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
3. Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
4. Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	□Yes □ No ☑ N/A
Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	- Yes No N/A
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for
Does the responsible official:	each question)
1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	⊠ Yes □ No
2. Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:	
a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes No N/A
b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
4. Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
5. Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
6. Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
7. Maintain deviation reports?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
a) Problem corrected?	Yes No N/A
8. Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

## PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check  $\square$  only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	Yes No
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	Yes No
d) Pumps	
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official?	?
<ul> <li>a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces)</li> <li>b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets)</li> <li>c) Odor (noticeable perc odor)</li> <li>d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tubes</li> <li>e) Halogen leak detector</li></ul>	a) \( \begin{align*} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &
**If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment:	0 ppm?       1) Yes       No         FID only)?       2) Yes       No          3) Yes       No          4) Yes       No
Tracy White	9/16/2009
Tracy White  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	9/16/2009  Date of Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 5-12 months
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection  5-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were apparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS:  I met with Gonell Hines, Machine Operator. Tom Richardson, Owner, wa present. Two machines, the Metro and Union machine, were in operation	Date of Inspection  5-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were a, apparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was epaired.  s. The temperature gauge needle for the Metro machine
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS:  I met with Gonell Hines, Machine Operator. Tom Richardson, Owner, wa present. Two machines, the Metro and Union machine, were in operation observed from the last inspection on 11/12/2008 appeared to have been reliable to the second of the seco	Date of Inspection  5-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were a paparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was epaired.  s. The temperature gauge needle for the Metro machine e Metro machine appeared to be functional.  The knew the correct operational pressures for the cool-
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS:  I met with Gonell Hines, Machine Operator. Tom Richardson, Owner, was present. Two machines, the Metro and Union machine, were in operation observed from the last inspection on 11/12/2008 appeared to have been really observed the temperature gauges and pressure gauges for both machines appeared to be bent and was not functioning. The pressure gauges for the I asked Mr. Hines if he had the operation manuals for the machine and if	Date of Inspection 6-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were a paparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was repaired.  s. The temperature gauge needle for the Metro machine a Metro machine appeared to be functional.  The knew the correct operational pressures for the cool-silable (not on-site).
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS:  I met with Gonell Hines, Machine Operator. Tom Richardson, Owner, was present. Two machines, the Metro and Union machine, were in operation observed from the last inspection on 11/12/2008 appeared to have been really observed the temperature gauges and pressure gauges for both machines appeared to be bent and was not functioning. The pressure gauges for the I asked Mr. Hines if he had the operation manuals for the machine and if down cycle. He explained that he had the manuals, but they were not available. I do not be the I asked Mr. Hines if he had the manuals are gauges installed. I do not be under the machine had temperature and pressure gauges installed. I do not be under the machine had temperature and pressure gauges installed. I do not be used to be under the machine had temperature and pressure gauges installed. I do not be used to be us	Date of Inspection 6-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were a paparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was epaired.  s. The temperature gauge needle for the Metro machine e Metro machine appeared to be functional.  The knew the correct operational pressures for the cool-ailable (not on-site).  not know if the gauges were working correctly for this
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature  COMMENTS:  I met with Gonell Hines, Machine Operator. Tom Richardson, Owner, was present. Two machines, the Metro and Union machine, were in operation observed from the last inspection on 11/12/2008 appeared to have been really observed the temperature gauges and pressure gauges for both machines appeared to be bent and was not functioning. The pressure gauges for the I asked Mr. Hines if he had the operation manuals for the machine and if down cycle. He explained that he had the manuals, but they were not available. The Union machine had temperature and pressure gauges installed. I do machine (was not in cool down cycle during inspection).	Date of Inspection 6-12 months  Approximate Date of Next Inspection  as not on-site during the inspection. Three machines were a paparently in the drying cycle. The pump leak that was epaired.  s. The temperature gauge needle for the Metro machine e Metro machine appeared to be functional.  The knew the correct operational pressures for the cooldilable (not on-site).  not know if the gauges were working correctly for this less "had not been operated for years."  d Mr. Hines about the water waste disposal. He showed

Mr. Hines did not have a PCE leak detector device. He explained he would mention it to the owner.

I requested the records. Mr. Hines immediately explained that he "was never sent a [2009] calendar." I gave him my reference copy of the 2009 SBAP calendar. I informed him that the calendar was available online. Mr. Hines did not appear to keep any records since the last inspection (none were supplied). However he did supply most of the 2009 Calendar year Perc receipts.

NOTE: Notification of Compliance status (EPA and FLDEP-due 7/28/2008) was received on 1/05/2009. Also, according to the Department records, the facility now has an entitlement to operate (issued on 2/05/2009).

## Recommendations:

Based the 9/16/2009 inspection observations, the facilty appears to be non compliant for the following issues:

- 1) Failure to maintain leak check records since the last inspection, repeat violation.
- 2) Failure to maintain repair reports for the machines.
- 3) Failure to maintain Perc rolling total records since the last inspection, repeat violation.
- 4) Failure to obtain and use a PCE leak detector device.
- 5) Failure to maintain equipment operation manuals on-site.
- 6) Failure to maintain temperature check/pressure system check records (as applicable).

All other issues related to past non-compliance status are under District review. This report will be forwarded to the District office.

NOTE: Parts of this inspection checklist could not be completed due to insufficient information.