# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}} \frac{\textbf{PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	INUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775038 DATE: 4/30/14 ARRIVE: DEPART:						
FACILITY NAME: CP05 C	RUSHING UNIT					
FACILITY LOCATION:	5937 Soutel Dr					
	JACKSONVILLE 322	19-3739				
Email: CONTACT NAME: DAW! Email: dawnsmith@mull:	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BILLY MULLINIKS PHONE:					
DART L. INCRECTION CO		acility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION CO  IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP			Non-COMPLIAN	ICE	
PART II: ONSITE INTROE  1. Name(s) of facility represe  Brief Notes: Unit is in sto	entative(s):			*	heck 🗹 I for each c	only one question)
2. Is the Authorized Represent If no, who is?:	ntative still BILLY MULLIN	IKS?		X	] Yes	□No
If different, did the facility 3. Is the facility contact still I If no, who is?:	provide an administrative up DAWN SMITH?				] Yes ] Yes	⊠No □No
4. Will facility be conducting	VE test(s) during today's instauthority notified at least 15				] Yes ] Yes	⊠No □No

### Emissions Unit Section 1-ALL AROUND CRUSHER

1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoris any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common (20x); (4) Rock of Solium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]  Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Cy e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite;  Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	NoNoNo
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	∐No

### 1 -ALL AROUND CRUSHER

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis.	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding initi of storage on in the production line.		
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If.	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	me answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
	1100 do, 1mio, dumpoto, etc.) to superior and transport particular to a control de 1100 i		
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
1.4	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	a. If yes, was the opticity less than of equal to 7% opticity.		
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$	_	_
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

### 1 -ALL AROUND CRUSHER

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?    N/A		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	П	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
<ul> <li>a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}</li> </ul>		Yes	□No
and			
<ul> <li>b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?     {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}     </li> </ul>		Yes	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
<ul> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after $4/22/2008$ skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 1 -ALL AROUND CRUSHER

22.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		W	□ No
	Ш	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			[/
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes	□No
C. Welle littld fugitive chinssions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 770 opacity.	ш	105	□140
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			ļ!
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}  and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	ıe.		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	_		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	ш	103	L
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Ц	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	□No
Rate:		* * 7	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		<b>X7</b>	□ N <sub>2</sub>
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

## Emissions Unit Section 2-ALL AROUND SCREENER

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone, Granite (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlomad Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	,
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	∑ Yes	No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

### 2 -ALL AROUND SCREENER

belt c grind {Note which at all with s of the	EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or onveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, ing mill or storage bin in the production line?	ig	□No
down grind  {Note any n miner moist throu	EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line stream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, ing mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
subpart ( If the an	r to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. swer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answe	r to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
	the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If answe	r to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
b. If y c. Wa	It Tests: It is an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
<b>indiv</b> a. Wa	EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not idually in compliance with emissions limits:  It is an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If y c. Wa	one or more affected EUs.]  ves, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? us an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?  ere initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

### 2 -ALL AROUND SCREENER

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		<b>.</b> 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	$\Box$	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	,	Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,</li> </ul>		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul></li></ul>		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?</li> </ul> <li>21. Initial Tests:</li>		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?</li> <li>21. Initial Tests: <ul> <li>a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Yes Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

### 2 -ALL AROUND SCREENER

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
or design serviceing inquite new rater)		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	☐No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
	_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	☐ Yes	□No
VE Opacity Limits		
	OOO EU	
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, construc	ted, modifie	ed,
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or recons	structed on	or
to 4/22/2008 after 4/2/	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15%	12%	
All other affected EUs 20% 10%		
	7%	l

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?    If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A  e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \[ \] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?    b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>b</b> ox for each q	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes
GENERAL CONDITIONS  1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	
<ul> <li>b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li></ul>	YesNo
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air genera permit and Department rules?	ıl YesNo
RELOCATABLE PLANT  1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ul> <li>2. For a relocated NMMP plant:</li> <li>a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?</li> </ul>	0(6)]
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air oper permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	YesNo  YesNo
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	YesNo

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within</li> </ol>	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	□No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change?	Yes cement?	No No No No
William Coffman	4/30/14	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Unit is in storage at Soutel yard		