

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D		(CI) [
AIRS ID#: 0950373 DA7	ΓΕ: <u>07/08/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:09</u>	<u>AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:05 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: SPE	RINGFIELD CLEANERS						
FACILITY LOCATION	: 2335 Temple Trl						
	WINTER PARK 32789	9-1151					
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: MOI DD: 4/5/2010 / 4/5/2015 (effective date) (end date)	HAMED KANJI	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	4077408093			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check ☑ only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC							
transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed b 5. Ineligible for d rop store/ou	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)		$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	/yr 2/9/91)			
	volume of all perchloroethylene was 45.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases mad	e in each of	the previous 12 months by this	dry		

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. If	Proce	ed to P	art V.	
 If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below. 	with	a refrig	gerated	
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equ refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refri	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :			check 🗹 ox for each	
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No	

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:							
Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No			
2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No	_	N/A	
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes		No		N/A	
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A	
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A	
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A	
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual	П	Yes		No		N/A	
condenser coils?	_						
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A	
		Yes		No		N/A	
		Yes		No		N/A	
		((check	V (only o	ne	
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		(bo	check ox for each	☑ o	only o	ne	
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ (ach q	only o	ne	
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	check	☑ o	only o	ne	
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	check	ach q No No	only o	ne n)	
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	(check ox for each	☑ (ach q	only o	ne	
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check	nach q No No No No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A	
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	☑ (ach q No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A	
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	oach quach q	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check	No	only of uestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check lox for each	No No No No No No No	only ouestion	ne n) N/A N/A N/A	

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? \boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills X		 No No No No No No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph of the system)	raph sh	hall satisfy th	ne
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62	2-213.300 FAC (continued)	
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ Explain other:	_	
Norma Ali & Jane Heppner	7/8/2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 12/31/2016	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

The OCEPD inspector Norma Ali and Jane Heppner, EPD's Enforcement Coordinator, met with Mr. Mohammed **COMMENTS:** Kanji, Owner, to conduct a follow-up inspection at this facility, to make sure Mr. Kanji had already taken care of the possible violations encountered on the last compliance inspection, performed on June 12, 2014, and mentioned in the Compliance Assistance Offer letter. Mr. Kanji showed all his records up-to-date and had all Perc receipts. He keeps all his records from 1999. Mr. Kanji also purchased a halogenated hydrocarbon detector to inspect the dry cleaning system components monthly for vapor leaks. Another possible violation was the drum where the the facility keeps the lint obtained from the dry cleaning process was not properly closed. On the previous inspection, Mr. Kanji used to keep the hazardous waste drum without using the metal ring to close it tightly, because according to him, it couldn't be closed and opened easily and it was a potential safety hazard for him and his employees. He was told to put on top of the lid something heavy to keep it closed. The inspector Norma Ali, showed him how to close it tightly, using the metal ring around it, and whenever they need to deposit any more lint, containing perc, they could do it by opening and closing a white screwable cap on top of the drum. Problem solved. The drum had the hazardous waste yellow label, with the date of the first time waste was put into the drum. Mr. Kanji showed the inspectors all the ground water monitoring wells outside this facility, and mentioned that not too long ago he had DEP's inspection and no signs of Perc were found. Ms. Ali mentioned to Mr. Kanji, that the facility's Air Permit would expire at the beginning of April 2015, and suggested he makes a note to send the permit application before the current one expires.

At the time of inspection, the facility appeared to be in compliance with the Air Permit. A copy of this report will be sent to Mr. Mohammed Kanji, at his request.