TSHIDTOL WOTECTION
Ster Marte
FLORIDA

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1050300 DA	TE: 01.12.2011	ARRIVE: <u>1008</u>	DEPART: <u>1045</u>	
FACILITY NAME: EX	ECUTIVE DRY CLEANERS	5		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 630 CYPRESS GARI	DEN BLVD		
	WINTER HAVEN	33880		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: PINAPATEL CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		012	PHONE:(863)293-6121Mobile:(863)651-1129PHONE:(863)293-6121Mobile:(863)651-1129	
PART I: INSPECTION	<u>COMPLIANCE STATUS</u>	(check 🗹 only one box	.)	
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIC	SNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
 (check ✓ A. 1. Existing sma dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, x (constructed) 3. Existing larg dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14 	ily, x < 140 gal/yr , x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr before 12/9/91) ge area source ily, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr , 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr 40 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr	 <u>New small an</u> dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, x (constructed of 4. New large ar dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14 	ily, $x < 140$ gal/yr , $x < 200$ gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91) rea source	
5. Ineligible for d rop store/ou	before 12/9/91) For General Permit ut of business/petroleum / eds above limits	(constructed)	on or after 12/9/91)	

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 40.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			(check ☑ ox for each d	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions		Yes	No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC				

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the facility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		•	check ☑ x for each c	only one Juestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)			
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes Yes	D No	N/A N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		````	check 🗹 ox for each c	only one question)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each	question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery? \boxtimes	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \boxtimes	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sr	mell or touch) wh	ile the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	spection of perception	ble leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🗍 N/A h) Stills 🖾		 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocart	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy i	he
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l))		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Xes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	 □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? ⊠ Leak log documentation ⊠ RO Assurances ⊠ On-site observation □ other Explain other :

Joseph V Panetta	01.12.2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Spoke with owner Pinakin Patel. Mr. Pinakin was able to provide proper documentation in the DEP supplied calendars. Altough perc purchasing records were not available for inspection, Mr Patel agreed to bring them to the district office. Mr. Patel said his perc purchasing records were at home were he does his accounting of business activity. A field warning notice was left for "Perc Purchasing Records Not Available". Mr. Patel said he will call within the 15day requirement of the FWN to set an appoint ment to come to the District Office.

Gave R/O copy of GPCI lite facility screen highlighting the expiration date and bringing this to date R/O's attention. Also gave R/O copy of blank inspection report, copy of R/O's registration and acknowledgement letter from Tallahassee. Handed out copy of 40CFR62.320 and DEP Dry Cleaners registration/rule.

01/20/2011 Mr. Patel came to the office and provided perc purchasing records.

FLORIDA Florida Department of Environmental Protection Southwest District Office
FIELD WARNING NOTICE
Name / Owner / Operator: 2XECUTIVE DRY CLEANERS
Address: 630 Cyphens GArden BLUD
Location/Source: Winter HAVEN 33850
Permit Number: 1050 300 Permit Exp. Date: 6/10/2012 Date and Time: 01/12/2011
The purpose of this notice is to advise you of possible violations of law for which you may be responsible, and to seek your cooperation in resolving the matter. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel conducted a field inspection on the date listed above at the location described above. Florida DEP personnel observed the following, which indicates that a violation of Florida Statutes and Rules may exist at the above described facility. (For example, Where was the activity observed? How was it discovered? Who provided information to the inspector?) Observations:
Inspection. MR. PINAkin Agreed to Come to SUD OFFICE
with further Penc Purchasing plecords
Rule or Statute Relevant to Observations Condition No.
Unconfined Particulate Matter. Rule 62-296.320(4)(c)1, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow the emission of unconfined particulate matter from any activity, without taking reasonable precautions to prevent such emissions.
Operating Without a Permit. Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C., provides that, unless exempted from permitting or unless specifically authorized, the owner or operator of any facility or emissions unit which emits or can reasonably be expected to emit any air pollutant shall obtain an appropriate permit from the Department.
Violation of Permit Condition(s). Rule 62-4.160(1), F.A.C., The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit, are "permit conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.141, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, F.S.
Objectionable Odor. Rule 62-296.320(2), F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor.
Excessive Visible Emissions. Rule 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere the emissions of air pollutants from any activity, the density of which is equal to or greater than 20 percent opacity.
Open Burning. With few exceptions, Rule 62-296.320(3), F.A.C., prohibits open burning in connection with industrial, commercial, or municipal operations.
Constructing Without a Permit. Rule 62-4.210, F.A.C., provides that no person shall construct any installation or facility which will reasonably be expected to be a source of air or water pollution without first applying for and receiving a construction permit from the Department.
Other.
The activities observed during the Department's field inspection and any other activities at your facility that may be contributing to violations of the above-described statutes or rules should be ceased. The operation of a facility in violation of state statutes or rules may result in liability for damages and restoration, and the administrative imposition of penalties up to \$10,000.00 pursuant to Section 403.121, Florida Statutes (F.S.), or the judicial imposition of civil penalties up to \$10,000.00 per violation per day pursuant to Sections 403.141 and 403.161, F.S.
You are requested to contact 3 of 1005777- at the address or telephone number below within tifteen (15) days of receipt of this Field Warning Notice. Please be advised that this Field Warning Notice is part of an agency investigation, of eliminary to agency action in accordance with Section 120.57(5), F.S. We look forward to your cooperation in completing the investigation and resolution of this matter.
Received by: DPHa

owner
Air Program Compliance Section
Phone (813) 632-7600 • Fax (813) 632-7668

Print: _

Joseph V. PaneTTA

PINAKIA PA

Print:

Title: ____

ef