OWERTAL PROTECTION	
and the second	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVEI ARMS COMPLAINT NO	
AIRS ID#: 0830126 DATE: <u>9/19/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:50</u>	DEPART: <u>10:16</u>
FACILITY NAME: CLASSIC CLEANERS OF OCALA	x #1	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2641 SW College Road		
OCALA 34474		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HEID Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/12/2007 / 11/12/201 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	D:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch		NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check I only one box in A)- Rule 62-7A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-5. Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits-B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 144 transfer only, x < 200 g both types, x < 140 gal/ (constructed on or after 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after 	0 gal/yr gal/yr /yr r 12/9/91) $x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $x \le 1,800$ gal/yr $x \le 1,800$ gal/yr r 12/9/91)

cleaning facility was 30.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	check 🗹 x for each	only one question)		
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
 Are all perc. containers leak free ? 		Yes		\square N/A		
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\square	Yes	□ No			
 4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	N/A		
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions		Yes	No	N/A		
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)						
1. If the facility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.						
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.						
3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equip	pped	with e	either a			

3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :	<pre></pre>	check ☑ x for each c	only one question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A	
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend,		Vac			
	contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	∐ No	∐ N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A	
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check 🗹 ox for each c	only one question)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes	🛛 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?		Yes	🛛 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🛛 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 🛛	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each qu	uestion)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\hfill \square$	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while	the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible	leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🗍 N/A h) Stills 🖾		 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbon	detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(63.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes Coordinates and seating Xes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Xes Coordinates Action 2010	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other Explain other : 					
Daniel K. Hall	April 2, 2014				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Classic Cleaners of Ocala was inspected as a conditionally exempt small quantity generator of hazardous waste and as a dry cleaner under the air and dry cleaner standards regulations. The facility was found to be out of compliance with one or more programs inspected. Specifically, Classic Cleaners was operating without a valid entitlement and not maintaining a running total of perc purchases, leak checks, or temperature logs. Please see the hazardous waste report for additional information regarding findings for that program.

Compliance assistance was conducted on-site during the inspection and included leaving a copy of the dry cleaner calendar for tracking leak checks, temperatures, and perc purchases. Follow-up contact made on October 29, 2013 concerning the lack of a valid permit with the owner signifying his intention to apply for a new one. The facility continues to operate without a valid air general entitlement.

A final compliance assistance attempt was made February 10, 2013 via e-mail. The facility applied for a new permit February 14, 2014 and the permit was issued March 17, 2014. The facility is returned to compliance and no further action is required at this time.