

## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1170352 DA	ΓΕ: <u>2/4/14</u>	ARRIVE: 9:20an	<u>1</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ASI	IAN CLEANERS					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 3846 ORLANDO DR					
	SANFORD 32773					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: CHA  DD: 11/11/2013 / 11/11/20  (effective date) (end date)			(407)321-4996 (321)696-1891		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
A. 1. Existing smal dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, x (constructed by the small dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed by the small dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed by the small dry-to-dry only) both types, 14 (constructed by the small dry-to-dry-only)	l area source ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)		$\begin{array}{l} \text{ly, x} < 140 \text{ g} \\ \text{x} < 200 \text{ gal/y} \\ < 140 \text{ gal/yr} \\ \text{on or after } 12 \\ \textbf{ea source} \\ \text{ly, } 140 \leq x \\ 200 \leq x \leq \\ 40 \leq x \leq \\ \end{array}$	2/9/91)  2/9/91)  2 2,100 gal/yr  1,800 gal/yr  1,800 gal/yr		
	volume of all perchloroethylene was 40.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases made	e in each of t	he previous 12 months by this o	lry	

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹 ox for each	only one question)			
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No				
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	N/A N/A			
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A			
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)  1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. I	Proce	ed to P	art V.				
2. If the facility classification is a <b>new small area source</b> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>							
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993							
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.							
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check <b>2</b> ox for each	-			
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	. 🖂	Yes	☐ No				
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A			
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A			
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	⊠ No				

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:							
	Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\circ}$ F?		Yes		No		N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes		No		N/A
	Condenser cons:						
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A
6.			Yes		No		N/A
6.			Yes		No		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?						
			(	(check	<b>V</b>	only o	ne
PA	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(	•	<b>V</b>		ne
1.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		( bo	ox for e	☑ (each q		ne
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		yes	ox for e	☑ deach q		ne
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		yes	ox for e	☑ deach q		ne
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	ox for e	each q No No		nne n)
1. 2. 3.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	each q No No No		nne n) N/A
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	each q No No No	uestio	nne n) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	each q No No No No No	uestio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No No No No No No No	uestio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No	uestio	nne n) N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☒ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	⊠ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	⊠ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	ell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A i) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes No N/A j	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraphic paragraphic) and the system is in operation?	raph sh	hall satisfy th	ne
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $\S63.322(k)$ or $(l)$ )			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Y d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Y	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62	2-213.300 FAC (continued)	
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as a  Leak log documentation RO Assurances  Explain other: No evidence provided	<u> </u>	
Danielle D. Owens	February 4, 2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Da- D. O-		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** On February 4, 2014 Danielle Owens, Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), along with Ed Bautista, Seminole County Environmental Compliance, Assistance & Pollution Prevention Program (ECAP3), conducted a multimedia inspection at Asian Cleaners. Asian Cleaners was inspected for compliance with state and federal hazardous waste, air resources, and dry cleaner standards. This checklist details air resource compliance. Hazardous waste compliance details are contained in a separate report. During the inspection, the following potential non-compliance issues were noted:

- 1. Specific dry cleaning systems components were not inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, smell, or touch) and monthly for vapor leaks (using a halogenated hydrocarbon detector) while the system is in operation. The inspections should be documented.
- 2. The temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of the refrigerated condenser was not being measured and recorded weekly.
- 3. A rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption is not maintained and was not available for review at the time of the inspection.

The owner, Chau Mcafee was given a 2014 Compliance Calendar for Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaners and a Drycleaner - Air and Hazardous Waste Compliance Guide poster.