

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0250813 DA7	ΓΕ: <u>2/13/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:35AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PRO	OFESSIONAL CLEANERS ANI	D TAYLOR	
FACILITY LOCATION	: 830 Ali Baba Avenue		
	OPA LOCKA 33054		
Email: maria.hernand	OSE OCON* lez7789@gmail.com	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)600-2675 (954)478-1334 (954)600-2675 (954)478-1334
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	•	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE
	LASSIFICATION - Rule 62-2 only one box in A)	213.300 FAC	
transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed b 5. Ineligible for d rop store/our	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)	 2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 ga both types, x < 140 gal/y (constructed on or after 1 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after 1 	l/yr r 12/9/91)
	volume of all perchloroethylene (pwas 45.00 gallons.	perc) purchases made in each of	the previous 12 months by this dry

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)	
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No		
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A	
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. I	Proce	ed to P	art V.		
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.					
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equ refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>					
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refriş	gerated		
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 2 ox for each		
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes	☐ No		
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	☐ No	N/A	
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No		

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	<u> </u>	No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	_	No		N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	Ш	Yes	r	No	Ш	N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	1	No		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	1	No		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes		No		N/A
							1
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	1	No 		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(check b	V 0	only o	ne
PA			(check b	V 0	only o	ne
P A	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		(bo	check Ex for ea	✓ c	only o	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		(bo	check Ex for ea	✓ cach qu	only o	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	\boxtimes	(bo	check Ex for ea	✓ cach qu	only o	ne
1. 2.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	\boxtimes	(bo Yes Yes	check 5 x for ea	✓ cach qu No	only o	ne n)
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	\boxtimes	Yes Yes Yes	check be a for each of the check be a for each of the check be a formal	✓ cach que No No	only o	ne n) N/A
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check Ex for ea	✓ cach que No No No No	only o	ne n) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	check Ex for ea	✓ cach que No No No No No	only o	ne n) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check Ex for each of the control of	✓ cach que No No No No No No No	only o	ne n) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check Ex for ea	Z cach quach quach No No No No No No No No No	only o	ne n) N/A N/A N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(only one	
1.	1. What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?			question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or t	touch) whi	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection (of perceptib	ele leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills S		 No No No No No No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated	hydrocarb	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	graph sh	all satisfy ti	he
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS - Rule 62	2-213.300 FAC (continued)
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as r ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ Explain other:	<u>_</u>
MARUFUL MALIK	2/13/2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	2/28/2013
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
GOLDENIES OF THE 12 2010 A 12 A 12 A 12 A 12 A 12 A 12 A	

COMMENTS: On February 13, 2013 I visited this facility to verify the operational status of the facility. On site I met Errol Jay Mirmelli who claimed to be the new owner of the facility. According to Mr.Mirmelli, the property owner, he also assumed the ownership of the facility as of December 01, 2012. He mentioned that Jose Ocon was currently an employee. Mr. Ocon was on site. Mr.Mermelli was in a process of selling this facility.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 3:56 pm, Feb 28, 2013