

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle NE Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT

July 14, 2009

Eddie Randolph Randolph's Alterations & Dry Cleaners 615 West Fourth Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32303-6015

Dear Mr. Randolph:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0730093**. Your permit expires on July 22, 2012. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **Non-Compliance** for your facility. The facility appeared to be non-compliant with the following:

Percloroethylene Dry Cleaner Air General Permit Notification Form, Part II. Permit Terms and Conditions:

(6) Recordkeeping Requirements.

- (a) The responsible official shall maintain the following records <u>in a log kept on-site</u>, for a minimum of five years:
 - 1. All purchase receipts for determination of perchloroethylene solvent consumption and monthly consumption logs.
 - 2. All leak detection inspection and repair reports.
 - 3. All control equipment monitoring data on perchloroethylene concentrations and exhaust stream temperatures.

Records were not available for inspection. Please let your staff know where your records are located when you are not present at the facility. Please keep available copies of the records at the facility during normal business hours. A follow-up inspection will be conducted within 30 days of your receipt of this letter.

Eddie Randolph July 14, 2009 Page 2

Note that your compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

In order to complete the yearly inspection process, the enclosed "Annual Compliance Certification Form" will have to be completed. The form should be completed on an annual basis. The last form was received on May 19, 2008. Please fill out your relevant sections of the form, including the Annual Reporting Period. Please check your compliance status box, sign and date the bottom of the form, and return or mail the form back to this office. You may keep the yellow copy for your records.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. You are encouraged to review the enclosed inspection checklist and its comments section. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Erica Mitchell, FDEP



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0730093 DATE: <u>6/30/2009</u>	ARRIVE: DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: RANDOLPH'S ALTERATIONS & D	RY CLEANERS
FACILITY LOCATION: 615 West Fourth Ave	
TALLAHASSEE 32303-	6015
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: EDDIE	RANDOLPH PHONE: (850)224-7230
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/22/2007 / 7/22/2012	
(effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	k ☑ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLI	ANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213.	300 FAC
(check ☑ only one box in A)	
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr
transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr	transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr
both types, $x < 140 \text{ gal/yr}$ (constructed before 12/9/91)	both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)
(constructed before 12/9/91)	
3. Existing large area source	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$
transfer only, $200 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$	transfer only, $200 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr
both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before $12/9/91$)	both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$)
(Constructed before 12/9/91)	(Constructed on of after 12/9/91)
5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum	
facility exceeds above limits	
	ļi

PA	RT III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check ☑ only one box
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
	RT IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)	
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source, no controls are requi	ired. Proceed to Part V.
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. Complete section A. below.	quipped with a refrigerated
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>	
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	quipped with a refrigerated
Α.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large</u> <u>area & new sources</u> :	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	□Yes □No
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	Yes No N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes No N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	Yes No
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- Yes No N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	□Yes □No

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)	
B. Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	□Yes □No
2. Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3. Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
4. Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	- Yes No N/A
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	
Does the responsible official:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:	
a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes No N/A
b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
4. Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
5. Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
6. Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	☐ Yes ☐ No
7. Maintain deviation reports?	
a) Problem corrected?	
8. Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	Yes No N/A

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check \square only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	Yes No
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	Yes No
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves	ills
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible office	zial?
 a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor) d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tu e) Halogen leak detector	b)
**If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment: 1) Capable of detecting perc vapor concentrations in a range of 0- 2) Calibrated against a standard gas prior to and after each use (PI 3) Inspected for leaks and obvious signs of wear on a weekly basi	500 ppm? 1) Yes No No (D/FID only)? 2) Yes No
4) Kept in a clean and secure area when not in use?5) Verified for accuracy by use of duplicate samples (calorimetric	
5) Verified for accuracy by use of duplicate samples (calorimetric	only)? 5) \(\bigcap Yes \) \(\bigcap No \)
5) Verified for accuracy by use of duplicate samples (calorimetric Tracy White	6/30/2009
5) Verified for accuracy by use of duplicate samples (calorimetric Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print)	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tracy White	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection Within 30 days
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection Within 30 days Approximate Date of Next Inspection ords. The site staff did not know where the records were not tell them the location of the records. I briefly observed the
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print) Tracy White Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I met with Emma Bennett and Eddie Randolph Jr. I requested the recollocated. They explained that Mr. Randolph (RO) was out and he did not be the content of the second content.	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection Within 30 days Approximate Date of Next Inspection ords. The site staff did not know where the records were not tell them the location of the records. I briefly observed the ge issue has been resolved (see last inspection report).
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I met with Emma Bennett and Eddie Randolph Jr. I requested the recollocated. They explained that Mr. Randolph (RO) was out and he did n machine. It was not in operation. I am not sure if the temperature gauge	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection Within 30 days Approximate Date of Next Inspection ords. The site staff did not know where the records were not tell them the location of the records. I briefly observed the ge issue has been resolved (see last inspection report).
Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I met with Emma Bennett and Eddie Randolph Jr. I requested the recollocated. They explained that Mr. Randolph (RO) was out and he did not machine. It was not in operation. I am not sure if the temperature gauge Note: Portions of this compliance checklist could not be completed duties.	6/30/2009 Date of Inspection Within 30 days Approximate Date of Next Inspection ords. The site staff did not know where the records were not tell them the location of the records. I briefly observed the ge issue has been resolved (see last inspection report). ne to insufficient information.