

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 12, 2012

Randall Cothren Eagle Cleaners 3185-C Capital Circle, NE Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Dear Mr. Cothren:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The permit **expires on July 9, 2017**. The program identification number for this facility is **0730091**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a facility status of In Compliance. Your compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Maclane Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)

SWOTCH WOTECTION	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/D RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)						
AIRS ID#: 0730091 DATE: <u>6/14/2012</u> ARRIVE:	DEPART:						
FACILITY NAME: EAGLE CLEANERS							
FACILITY LOCATION: 3185-C Capital Circle NE							
TALLAHASSEE 32308-7798							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RANDALL COTHREN Email: rencoht3@embargmail.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/9/2012 / 7/9/2017 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (904)531-0124 Mobile: (850)510-7627 PHONE: Mobile:						
	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr	hly, x < 140 gal/yr < x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91) rea source hly, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr , 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr 40 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr on or after 12/9/91)						

cleaning facility was 116.60 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check ☑ x for each o	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	_	Yes	□ No	N/A
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC				

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the facility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		`	check ☑ x for each q	only one uestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber,	_		_	_	
	if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	∐ No	∐ N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend,					
	contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check 🗹 ox for each c	only one question)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check	✓ only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for	each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌	No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌	No 🛛 N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery? 🖾	Yes	No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	No 🛛 N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \boxtimes	Yes 🗌	No 🗌 N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sr	mell or touch)	while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	spection of perc	eptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes No	Yes IN Yes IN Yes IN	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} I & & & & N/A \\ I & & & N/A \end{array} $
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydro	carbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall sati	sfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l)		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes IN Yes IN Yes IN	Io X N/A Io N/A Io N/A Io N/A Io N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? 						
Tracy White 6/14/2012						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
I may to have						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					

COMMENTS: I met with Randall Cothren. Records were maintained and available for inspection. The machine was not in operation. No obvious leaks or strong odors were noted. A leak detector was available for the monthly leak detection requirement.