

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		VERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0090045 DA	ATE: <u>1/20/10</u> OUNTAINHEAD CREMATOI	ARRIVE: <u>9:35</u>	DEPART: 12:10		
		K I			
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 7303 BABCOCK ST	SE			
	PALM BAY 32909				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: JE	EFFREY OLIVER PHO	ONE: (321)727-3977		
CONTACT NAME:	TOM GALLAGHER (OPERA	TER) PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	IOD: 12/19/2008 / 12/19/ (effective date) (end date)				
DADEL INGREGATOR	N COMPLIANCE CELEBRIC	(1.17/1.1.)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR	<u> REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401	, F.A.C.		
(check 🗹 appropria	ate box(es))		,	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
(check appropria1. Were there any o2. Was a visible em	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?- issions test conducted during the	his site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the 	ate box(es)) sbjectionable odor(s) detected?- sissions test conducted during the control of the	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	☐Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40). In order to demondant to	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?- dissions test conducted during the constrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e ssion, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing	☐Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demondent of the completed within a) Carbon Monor 	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?- dissions test conducted during the constrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submistate individual source comple at the constrate individual source comple noted that the constraints in the constraints are the constraints and the constraints are the constraints and the constraints are the const	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app. tification form submission? (Ror below the requirements of 1	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by	☐Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40). In order to demondent of the completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis (Ref.: Chapter 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- control of the conducted during the conducted during the control of the conducted during the conducted individual source complete (S)(i), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining apprification form submission? (Ror below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? Licable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Of parts per million by according to EPA Method	<pre>Yes ⋈ No</pre> Yes ⋈ No Yes ⋈ No Yes ⋈ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demondem completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate manual control of the cont	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the control of the	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (R or below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 s equal to or below the require	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any o Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demondays prior to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demonday completed within a) Carbon Monday volume, dry basis (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per conduction of the particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62 	ate box(es)) abjectionable odor(s) detected?- dissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete (S). AGP Notification form submistance individual source complete (S), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible e ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (R or below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a fethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 s equal to or below the require ed to 7% O ₂ and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method , F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5	<pre>Yes ⋈ No</pre> Yes ⋈ No Yes ⋈ No Yes ⋈ No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40). 4. In order to demondem completed withina) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test perior or particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62). 5. Was all emission capacity?	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- dissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submistants and source complete for the AGP Notification form submistants and source complete for the AGP Notification for the AGP	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining applitification form submission? (Ror below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a fethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) equal to or below the requirements of to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the requirements of th	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ments of EPA Method 5 murers recommended	□Yes No □Yes No □Yes □No □Yes □No □Yes □No □Yes □No □Yes □No □Yes □No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demondent of the demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demondent of the dem	ate box(es)) abjectionable odor(s) detected?- dissions test conducted during the commentation of the com	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining apprification form submission? (Ror below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a sequal to or below the requirements of 1 or 50 days and tested according to 10 days prior at the manufact of 10 days prior are to 10 days prior at the manufact of 10 days prior are to 10 days prior are to 10 days prior are to 10 days prior 10 days	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5 currers recommended didentical crematory unit? al compliance test?	□Yes No □Yes No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the records the follo	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	soranig or sacin
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	⊠Yes □ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes ☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes □ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
A IS A CONTRACTOR AS A CONTRAC	
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes ☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	У
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	ration
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form?	out replacement? \square Yes \square ly different than that noted on the most]No]No]No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to off 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all ope a) submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted without the submitted window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted within the 15 day required window for the submitted wind]No]No]No]No	
Michael Young	January 20, 2010	-
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-

COMMENTS: This facility has two cremation units. a Mathews Power Pak II that has been built ~1993 and installed in the facility in 2009. The older unit is a backup unit now is a B & L 117-36-92.