

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 16, 2013

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested exclusivecins@att.net

Mr. H. Gray Whigham, Owner Exclusive Cleaners 3900 North Ninth Avenue Pensacola, Florida 32503

Dear Mr. Whigham:

On January 10, 2013, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330235. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850.595.0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| | MPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) MS COMPLAINT NO: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0330235 DATE: <u>1/10/13</u> ARR | IVE: <u>10:00 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:20 AM</u> | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: EXCLUSIVE CLEANERS & LAUNDRY | | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 3900 N 9th Avenue | | | | | | |
| PENSACOLA 32503-2803 | | | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HENRY WI Email: CONTACT NAME: GLORIA STOKES Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2011 / 7/28/2016 (effective date) (end date) | HIGHAM PHONE: (850)438-8995 Mobile: PHONE: (850)698-4084 Mobile: | | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | |
| PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check only one box in A) | | | | | | |
| dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) | New small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$) New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$) | | | | | |
| B . The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) p cleaning facility was 109 gallons. | archases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry | | | | | |

| PA | RT III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check 🗹 x for each | only one question) | | |
|-----------|---|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1. | Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | | |
| 2. | Are all perc. containers leak free? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | | |
| | Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 4. | Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | | Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | | |
| 5. | Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | | Yes | □ No | ⊠ N/A | | |
| 6. | Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | ☐ No | ⊠ N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | RT IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form) | | | | | | |
| | 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source , no controls are required. P | rocee | ed to P | art V. | | | |
| | 2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below. | with a | a refrig | erated | | | |
| | 3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993 | | | | | | |
| | 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | | | | | | |
| A. | Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources: | | | check 🗹 x for each | | | |
| 1. | Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | | Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 2. | Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | | |
| 3. | Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | | |
| 4. | Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | | Yes | ☐ No | ⊠ N/A | | |
| 5. | Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | | Yes | ☐ No | ⊠ N/A | | |
| 6. | Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | | Yes | ☐ No | | | |

| PA | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | For all existing large or new large area sources: | | | | | | |
| | Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, | | | | | | |
| | reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured | | | | | | |
| | and recorded weekly? | | Yes | _ | No | | N/A |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | Ш | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 3. | Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly | | | | | | |
| | at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| | | | | _ | | _ | |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | Ш | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring | | | | | | |
| | perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, | | | | | | |
| | contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 5. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual | | | | | | |
| | condenser coils? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | П | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | (| check | V (| only o | one |
| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | (| | V (| only o | one |
| 1. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | (| check x for each | V (| only o | one |
| 1. | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | () bo | check x for e | ☑ (ach q | only o | one |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | (de box | check x for e | ☑ (ach qu | only o | one |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | (de box | check x for each | ☑ (ach qu | only o | one |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | Yes Yes Yes | check x for each | of ach quality No No No | only o | one on) |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | \boxtimes | Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | Mo Ach qu No No No | only of uestion | one on) N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | of ach quality No No No | only of uestion | one on) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for each | Mo No No No No No No No | only of uestion | one on) N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for each | Mo No No No No No | only of uestion | one on) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | Mo No No No No No No No | only of uestion | one on) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | Mo No | only of uestion | nne on) N/A N/A N/A N/A |

| PA | ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | (check 🗹 | only one |
|----|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | be | ox for each | question) |
| | ☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes | ☐ No | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | |
| | the interface periphery? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | Yes | ☐ No | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 6. | Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $$ | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn | nell or | touch) while | le the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for inspection of the properties | pection | of perceptib | le leaks) |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills S | | NoNoNoNoNoNoNo | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected <u>monthly</u> for <u>vapor leaks</u> using a halog | enated | hydrocarbo | on detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag | graph sh | hall satisfy th | ne |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)) | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | No No No No No No No | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62 | 2-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as a | _ | |
| | | |
| Jennifer Waltrip | January 10, 2013 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | January 2014 | |
| | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| COMMENTS: On January 10, 2013, Department personne Escambia County. The Department would like to thank Mr. Prinspection. All records were well maintained and indicated compliance with the control of t | | |