

ARMS UPDATED: 4-16-12 C.H.

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO	, , <u> </u>
AIRS ID#: 1010347 DAT	E: 4-4-12	ARRIVE: <u>10:15AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: THE	E CLOTHES DOCTOR		
FACILITY LOCATION:	13915 US 98 By Pass		
	DADE CITY 33525		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: mchavez@fltra CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		RIA ALEJANDRE-CHAY Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	PHONE: (352)206-5972 (352)567-8433 :
PART I: INSPECTION OF IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	_	IT Non-COMPLIANCE
	LASSIFICATION - Rule 62- nly one box in A)	213.300 FAC	
transfer only, x both types, x < (constructed be 3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, 140 (constructed be 5. Ineligible for	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr 2 140 gal/yr efore 12/9/91) area source y, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr $0 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr efore 12/9/91) r General Permit \square of business/petroleum /	 2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 g both types, x < 140 gal/(constructed on or after 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after 	0 gal/yr al/yr /yr 12/9/91) x \leq 2,100 gal/yr \leq 1,800 gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr
	olume of all perchloroethylene (vas 60.00 gallons.	perc) purchases made in each o	of the previous 12 months by this dry

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			(check		ıly o	
		bo	ox for ea	ch que	estio	n)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes	_	Ю		N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes		Ю		N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ N	lo		
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	. 🗆	Yes	□ N	lo	\boxtimes	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.	- 🗆	Yes	□ N	lo l		N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🗆	Yes	□ N	lo	\boxtimes	N/A
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)						
	D	.J 4. T	Do4 V 7			
1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source , no controls are required.	Proce	ea to F	art v.			
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below.	l with	a refriş	gerated			
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equal refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>						
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equippe condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	d with	a refri	gerated			
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check v ox for ea		nly o estio	
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- 🛛	Yes	□ N	lo		
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	- 🖂	Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	- 🖂	Yes	□ N	Ю		N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	. 🖂	Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- 🗆	Yes	□ N	lo	\boxtimes	N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	- 🖂	Yes	□ N	lo		

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:					
Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	☐ No		
2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	□ No		N/A
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	□ No		N/A
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	🗆	Yes	□ No		N/A
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	·	Yes	☐ No		N/A
condenser cons.					
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
		Yes	□ No		N/A
		Yes	□ No		N/A
		(□ No	only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		bo	check 🗹	•	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	×	bo Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each	•	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————	×	bo	check 🗹	•	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	⊠ ⊠	Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No	•	ne n)
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————	\(\times\)	bo Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each	questio	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	\(\times \)	Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No	questio	nne n) N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	\(\times \)	Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No	questio	ne n) N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	🖂 🖂 🖂	Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No No	questio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	🖂 🛱 🛱	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 cx for each No No No No No	questio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	\(\text{\ti}\text{\texit{\texicr{\texict{\texit{\text{\texi\texi{\texit{\texit{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\tet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Ccheck ox for each No No No No No No	questio	nne n) N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	b	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) whil	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph)	raph s	hall satisfy th	ıe
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $\S 63.322(k)$ or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes NO N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-	-213.300 FAC (continued)
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re ☐ RO Assurances ☐	equired? On-site observation other
Explain other:	On-site observation
Chris Haines & Wendy Akins	4-4-12
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	4-4-17
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Ms. Wendy Akins and I (Chris Haines) arrived at the facility at approximately 10:15 AM to conduct an inspection for training purposes. Upon entering the facility we met with the manager, Mr. Miguel Alejandre because the Authorized Representative, Ms. Maria Alejandre-Chay, was not available. After introductions, Mr. Alejandre showed us to the back of the facility where the Dry-to-Dry perc machine (machine) was located. I went behind the machine and took a few pictures since the bucket that catches the water from the condenser was uncovered. We also inspected the records for the rolling totals of perc purchased. The records indicated that there was a steep decline in perc usage from 2011 to 2012. In February 2011 The Clothes Doctor purchased 175 gallons of perc for the past year. In February of 2012, the facility purchased only 60 gallons of perc for the past 12 months. I checked their leak detection logs and it appears the facility has not conducted weekly leak checks since early February of this year. I used the facility's halogenated hydrocarbon leak detector to check the machine for leaks and found no detectable leaks exceeding permitted limits (machine was not operating at time of check). There was also no detection of perc from the uncovered bucket of condenser water. It appears Ms. Alejandre-Chay is recording the leak check information incorrectly. She circled "no" in the log if, no leaks were detected. I explained the corrections that should be made to how the leak check logs are filled out. According to Mr. Alejandre, in January 2012, the facility replaced the seal on the door of the machine and provided the receipt for our inspection. Department staff then informed Mr. Alejandre that the facility's Air General Permit Entitlement (Air Permit) expired in February 2012. Mr. Alejandre directed inspectors to the Hazardous Waste permit certificate posted on their machine. Department staff explained that a separate Air Permit was required and that it must be renewed once every five years. Mr. Alejandre explained that he recently assumed the responsibilities of managing the facility and he was unaware of the need for an Air Permit in addition to the Hazardous Waste permit. I provided Mr. Alejandre with contact information for Mr. Michael Pasioni, the Department's Tallahassee Dry Cleaning representative. I requested that Ms. Maria Alejandre-Chay contact me when she is available to discuss the expired Air Permit and the missing leak check information. I prepared a Field Warning Notice and explained to Mr. Alejandre that the Air Permit registration application must be submitted to the Department as soon as possible because the facility is operating without entitlement according to Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.. Wendy and I gave him our contact information and he thanked us for the information. We concluded our inspection at approximately 11:00AM.

On 4-9-12, I received a call from Ms. Alejandre-Chay about the missing leak check information and the expired Air Permit. She informed me that the facility had issues with an employee tampering with their records so in February she began recording the leak checks in a different location. Ms. Alejandre-Chay agreed to provide the leak check information to me and stated she was going to fill out the application and send it to Tallahassee. I requested she also provide me with an electronic copy of the registration application when it is complete. She agreed and verified my contact information. Note: Any unchecked boxes do not apply.

On 4-12-12, I received an Email from Ms. Alejandre-Chay with the attached records for the past 4 months that were not present on the calendar that Mr. Alejandre was able to locate during the inspection. I have attached these records to this report.

Attached: Photo-log, Emailed Records, and Field Warning Notice.

DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

- 1. Facility Name: The Clothes Doctor
- 2. Facility ID No: 1010338
- 3. Inspection Type: INS2
- 4. Inspection Date: 04/04/2012
- 5. Type of Camera Used: Samsung Moment 3.2 Mega Pixels
- 6. Digital Recording Media: SanDisk 2.0GB Memory Card
- 7. All Digital Photos Were Copied To: C:\Documents and Settings\haines_c\Desktop\4-4-12
- 8. Original Stored On: G:\Compliance Electronic Documents\Jan Dec 2012\1010347\Photos 4-4-12
- 9. Were the photos altered?: NO X YES explain yes:
- 10. Photographer: Chris Haines





Photo ID No.02 Wednesday, April 04, 2012, 10:43:28 AM Condensation collector in working order, uncovered condenser water bucket.

Photo ID No. 01 Wednesday, April 04, 2012, 10:42:58 AM Water collection bucket uncovered.

Facility Name: The Clothes Doctor or Dade City
Facility ID No. 1010347

Inspection Date: 4-4-12
Page 1 of 1

Date	Temperature	Is Temp less than or equal to 45°F (7.2°C)?
1.2.12	42	₹\N
1-9	43	YIN
1-16	42	(Y)N
1-23	44	y/N
1.30	44	Ø/N

Total from last mo DECEM 12 Month R	60	
Subtract PERC pu JANUARY	- 0	
SUBTOTAL		60
Purchase Date of Perc.	Purchase Amount in gal. JANUARY 2012	12 Month Running Total
	+	
	+:	

REMINDER:

IF YOUR 12-MONTH RUNNING TOTAL IS 140 GALLONS OR MORE OF PERC, YOU MUST CONDUCT AND RECORD LEAK INSPECTIONS WEEKLY.

an orange 140 g

INSPECTED	LEAK	NG?	DATE			DATE PARTS	DATE PARTS	DATE
	1.2	1.9	1-16	1-23	1.30	ORDERED	RECEIVED	REPAIRED
HOSES	N Y	(N Y	(N) Y	N Y	N Y			
DOORS	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	(N) Y	N Y			
PUMP	N Y	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	N Y			
SOLVENT TANKS	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	(Ŋ Y			
WATER SEPARATOR	N Y	(N) Y	(N) Y	(Ñ) Y	(Ñ Y			
STILL/MUCK COOKER	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	N Y			
HALOGEN LEAK DETECTOR	N Y	NY	NY	N Y	Ŋ Y			
DIVERTER VALVE/EXHAUST DAMP	(N Y	Ø Y	N Y	Ŋ Y	N Y	30.781-304-0		
GASKET/DOOR LINT/BUTTON TRAP	N Y	NY	(N) Y	N) Y	N Y			
CARTRIDGE FILTER/SPIN DISC	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	(Ñ) Y			
WASTE CONTAINERS	N Y	NY	N Y	N Y	(Ñ Y	LABELED (Y) N	DATED (Y) N	COVERED (V N

Song Chery

Date	Temperature	Is Temp less than or equal to 45°F (7.2°C)?
2-6	42	(Y/N
2-13	42	W/N
2.20	43	Q/N
2.27	43	Ŷ/N
		Ø/N

Janua	last month ry 2012 unning Total	60
Subtract PERC pu FEBRUA	rchased ARY 2011	-30
SUBTOTAL		30
NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.	1 . S S	
Purchase Date of Perc.	Purchase Amount in gal. FEBRUARY 2012	12 Month Running Total
	Amount in gal. FEBRUARY	Running

REMINDER: TRAIN NEW PEOPLE	ON THE PERC
MACHINE AND IN PA	
EMERGENCY SHUT D	DWN 🎺
PROCEDURE.	S. Cleve
Q. U	W.B.
ma vis.	20
16 61.	
(8)	
N .	
V	
A N	

INSPECTED	LEAKI	NG?	DATE			DATE PARTS	DATE PARTS	DATE
	7-6	2-13	2.70	2.27		ORDERED	RECEIVED	REPAIRED
HOSES	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
DOORS	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y			
PUMP	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	N Y			
SOLVENT TANKS	NY	N Y	(N) Y	N Y	NY			
WATER SEPARATOR	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY	3 300		
STILL/MUCK COOKER	(N) Y	NY	N Y	N Y	NY			
HALOGEN LEAK DETEC- TOR	N Y	N Y	N Y	Ø Y	N Y			
DIVERTER VALVE/EXHAUST DAMP	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
GASKET/DOOR LINT/BUTTON TRAP	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
CARTRIDGE FILTER/SPIN DISC	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
WASTE CONTAINERS	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY	LABELED (Y) N	DATED V N	COVERED Y N

Date	Temperature	Is Temp less than or equal to 45°F (7.2°C)?
3-5	44	(V)N
3.11	42	YIN
3-75	42	J'N
3.25	43	(y/N
		Y/N

Total from last month February 2012 12 Month Running Total	60
Subtract PERC purchased MARCH 2011	- 0
SUBTOTAL	60

Purchase Date of Perc.	Purchase Amount in gal. MARCH 2012	12 Month Running Total
	+	
	+	

REMINDER:

DO NOT HAVE ANY FLOOR DRAINS LEADING TO A SEWER SEPTIC TANK OR STORM WATER DRAIN AYWHERE NEAR THE AREA WHERE SOLVENT IS PRESENT.

INSPECTED	LEAKING? DATE					DATE PARTS	DATE PARTS	DATE
	3.5	3.11	3.18	3.25		ORDERED	RECEIVED	REPAIRED
HOSES	N Y	N Y	N Y	(N) Y	NY			
DOORS	N Y	NY	N Y	N Y	NY			
PUMP	N Y	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	ΝΥ			
SOLVENT TANKS	(N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	ΝΥ			
WATER SEPARATOR	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y			
STILL/MUCK COOKER	NY	(N) Y	N Y	(N) Y	NY			
HALOGEN LEAK DETECTOR	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
DIVERTER VALVE/EXHAUST DAMP	N Y	N Y	N Y	Ŋ Y	NY			
GASKET/DOOR LINT/BUTTON TRAP	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
CARTRIDGE FILTER/SPIN DISC	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY			
WASTE CONTAINERS	N Y	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	NY	LABELED (Y) N	DATED (Y) N	COVERED (Y) N

STONE STONE

Date	Temperature	Is Temp less than or equal to 45°F (7.2°C)?
4-1	44	ŶN
4-8	L/ I	YN
4-15		Y/N
422		Y/N
4-79		Y/N

Total from last month March 2012 12 Month Running Total	60
Subtract PERC purchased APRIL 2011	- 0
SUBTOTAL	60

Purchase Date of Perc.	Purchase Amount in gal. APRIL 2011	12 Month Running Total
	+	
	+	

REMINDER:

IF YOUR 12-MONTH RUNNING TOTAL IS 140 GALLONS OR MORE OF PERC, YOU MUST CONDUCT AND RECORD LEAK INSPECTIONS WEEKLY.



INSPECTED	LEAKING? DATE				DATE PARTS	DATE PARTS	DATE	
	41	4-8	4.15	4.22	4.29	ORDERED	RECEIVED	REPAIRED
HOSES	N Y	N Y	N Y	NY	NY			
DOORS	N Y	(N) Y	NY	NY	NY			
PUMP	N Y	(N) Y	NY	NY	NY	19		
SOLVENT TANKS	(N) Y	N Y	N Y	NY	NY			
WATER SEPARATOR	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	ΝΥ			
STILL/MUCK COOKER	N Y	N Y	NY	NY	ΝΥ			
HALOGEN LEAK DETEC- TOR	N Y	N Y	NY	NY	NY			
DIVERTER VALVE/EXHAUST DAMP	(A) Y	N Y	NY	N Y	NY			
GASKET/DOOR LINT/BUTTON TRAP	N Y	N Y	NY	NY	NY			
CARTRIDGE FILTER/SPIN DISC	(N Y	Ŋ Y	NY	N Y	NY			
WASTE CONTAINERS	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	N Y	LABELED (Y N	DATED Y N	COVERED (Y N



Florida Department of Environmental Protection Southwest District Office

FIELD WARNING NOTICE

Nam	e/Owner/Operator: \he Clothes Doctor	·
Addr	ess: 13915 US98 By Pass, Dado C:ty, F1, 33625	
Loca	tion/Source: Dry Cleaner	
Perm	it Number: 1010347 Permit Exp. Date: 4(12012 Date and Time: 4-4-12	110:59
resol the k exist	courpose of this notice is to advise you of possible violations of law for which you may be responsible, and to seek young the matter. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel conducted a field inspection on the data cation described above. Florida DEP personnel observed the following, which indicates that a violation of Florida Statute at the above described facility: (For example, Where was the activity observed? How was it discovered? Who provided informations:	ite listed above at es and Rules may
	Rule or Statute Relevant to Observations	Permit Condition No.
	Unconfined Particulate Matter. Rule 62-296.320(4)(c)1, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow the emission of unconfined particulate matter from any activity, without taking reasonable precautions to prevent such emissions.	
X	Operating Without a Permit. Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C., provides that, unless exempted from permitting or unless specifically authorized, the owner or operator of any facility or emissions unit which emits or can reasonably be expected to emit any air pollutant shall obtain an appropriate permit from the Department.	
1	Violation of Permit Condition(s). Rule 62-4.160(1), F.A.C., The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit, are "permit conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.141, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, F.S.	
	Objectionable Odor. Rule 62-296.320(2), F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor.	
	Excessive Visible Emissions. Rule 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere the emissions of air pollutants from any activity, the density of which is equal to or greater than 20 percent opacity.	
	Open Burning. With few exceptions, Rule 62-296.320(3), F.A.C., prohibits open burning in connection with industrial, commercial, or municipal operations.	
	Constructing Without a Permit. Rule 62-4.210, F.A.C., provides that no person shall construct any installation or facility which will reasonably be expected to be a source of air or water pollution without first applying for and receiving a construction permit from the Department.	
	Other.	
the aldama the juice You a Field accord	ctivities observed during the Department's field inspection and any other activities at your facility that may be contributing to over-described statutes or rules should be ceased. The operation of a facility in violation of state statutes or rules may reges and restoration, and the administrative imposition of penalties up to \$10,000.00 pursuant to Section 403.121, Florida addicial imposition of civil penalties up to \$10,000.00 per violation per day pursuant to Sections 403.141 and 403.161, F.S. are requested to contact the property of the property of the address or telephone number below within fifteen (15) days Warning Notice. Please be advised that this Field Warning Notice is part of an agency investigation, preliminary to dance with Section 120.57(5), F.S. We look forward to your cooperation in completing the investigation and resolution of the property of the	sult in liability for Statutes (F.S.), or s of receipt of this agency action in
Print:	DURLALEUGNAICO Print: Chr. S Haines	
Title:	$\mu \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$	