

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

GOVERNOR

RICK SCOTT

CENTRAL DISTRICT 3319 MAGUIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 232 ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32803

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

November 12, 2013

Mary Jacobs, Owner Mary's Dry Cleaner 2004 West Silver Springs Blvd. Ocala, FL 34475

Re: Mary's Dry Cleaner

Air 0830118 Marion County OCD-CAP-13-4381

Dear Ms. Jacobs:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on September 18, 2013. Based on the information provided during and following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance with the Department's rules and regulations. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Daniel Hall at (407)897-4167 or via e-mail at daniel.k.hall@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Aaron Watkins, Manager Central District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Enclosures: Inspection Report (with attachments)

cc:



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0830118 DA	TE: <u>9/18/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:55</u>	DEPART: <u>1:15</u>				
FACILITY NAME: MA	ARY'S DRY CLEANER						
FACILITY LOCATION	3: 2004 W SILVER SPRING	G BLVD					
	OCALA 34475						
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: MAR DD: 9/13/2008 / 9/13/2013 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (352)351-4248 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: Derating without Entitlement!				
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	·	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
	PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
transfer only, both types, x (constructed by a constructed by a constructe	ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91)	transfer only, both types, x (constructed of types). 4. New large are dry-to-dry only, both types, 14	ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91)				
	volume of all perchloroethylene (jwas 30.00 gallons.	perc) purchases made	e in each of the previous 12 months by this dry				

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		,			only o	
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A
	Are all perc. containers leak free?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A
	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		
	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A
	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the facility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V. 2. If the facility classification is a new small area source, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. 3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber						
	 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. 	with	a refriş	gerate	d —		
A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:					only o	
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes		No		
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?		Yes		No		N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes		No		N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes		No		N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes		No		N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes		No		

PART IV:	PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. For all ex	haust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry,	Yes	□ N	0		
and recor	sher exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured ded weekly?	Yes	□ N	o [1	N/A
a) Is the	temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	Yes	□ N	о [N/A
at the end	c concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, es are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	□ N	o [1	N/A
a) Is the	perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	□ N	o [N/A
4. Is the san perc conc	apling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring entrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, on, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, on, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	□ N	o [1	N/A
5. Are transcondense	fer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual r coils?	Yes	□ N	o [1	N/A
ll .				_		l
6. Is airflow	routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	□ N	o L	1	N/A
6. Is airflow	routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	□N	0	1	N/A
6. Is airflow	routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	□ N	0		N/A
	routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(check 🗹	I on	ly on	ie
PART V: R		(check 	onl	ly on	ne
PART V: R	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(bo	check 🗹	I only the que	ly on	ne
PART V: R 1. Are recei 2. Are rollin	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC pts maintained for all perc purchased?	(bo	check 🗹 x for eac	I only the que	ly on	ne
PART V: R 1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leak of	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC pts maintained for all perc purchased? g monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained?	(bo	check 🗹 x for eac	onleh que	ly on stion	ie
PART V: R 1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leak of an of an b) Of an b) Of an and b) Of an analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis analysis ana	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC ots maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	(bo Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for eac	I onlich que	ly on stion	ne n)
1. Are receiped. Are rolling. Are leaked a) Of an b) Of an and parts.	ets maintained for all perc purchased? g monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: y leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each N N N	I onlich que	lly on stion	ne n) N/A
1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leaked and of and bnown and parts. 4. Is calibrated.	ets maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for eac	I onleh que o o o c o E o E o E o E o E	ly on stion	ne N/A
PART V: R 1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leak of an of an b) Of an and part and part 4. Is calibrated: 5. Is exhaus	ets maintained for all perc purchased? g monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: y leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each N N N N N N N N	I onlich que	ly on stion	N/A N/A N/A
PART V: R 1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leak of an of an b) Of an and part of an and part of the second	ets maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each	I onlich que	ly on stion	ne n) N/A N/A
PART V: R 1. Are received: 2. Are rolling: 3. Are leak of an of an b) Of an and part of an and part of the second	ets maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check 🗹 x for each N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	I onleh que o o o c o c o c o c o c o c o c o c o	ly on stion	N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ✓ only one						
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)		
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used					
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to					
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate					
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No			
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer					
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of					
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along					
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No			
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or					
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per					
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations					
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and					
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting					
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) whil	e the		
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?					
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogonial value of the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogonial value of the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogonial value of the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halogonial value of the following dry cleaning system components in the following dry cleaning system components in the following dry cleaning as the following dry cleaning system components in the following dry cleaning as the following dry cleaning as the following dry cleaning d	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector		
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	graph sh	hall satisfy th	e		
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))					
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No N/A Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS - Rule	62-213.300 FAC (continued)
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ Explain other:	•
Daniel K. Hall	September 18, 2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Janis KThel	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: At the time of the inspection the facility was operating without a valid air general permit for a perchloroethylene dry cleaner. On September 19, 2013 the facility submitted an application for a new permit which was issued October 20, 2013. The issuance of the new permit brings the facility back into compliance with state and federal air and dry cleaner regulations.