OWNERTIAL PROTECTION	
Party Martin	
FLORIDA	

CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: Comparison of the second secon	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0571099 DATE: <u>7/28/09</u> FACILITY NAME: DIBBS PRODUCTS INC FACILITY LOCATION: 5812 N. 22nd Street	ARRIVE: <u>9:50 a.m.</u> DEPART: <u>10:20 a.m.</u>			
TAMPA 33610-4421 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RON	BENT PHONE: (813)238-5969			
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/31/2006 / 8/31/2011 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the cast polymer operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor?				

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

,	
1.	Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:
	a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air? Xes No
	b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? $\overline{\square}$ Yes $\overline{\square}$ No
	c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application? \overline{X} Yes $\overline{\Box}$ No
	d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage? View View View View View View View View
	e) managing cleanup solvents? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2.	Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the
	general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the
	adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,
	water quality, or air quality? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3.	Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300 (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	(4)(d)4., F.A.C.
A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? 	Tes No
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that no recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new 	ted on the most
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the a local program office?	ppropriate DEP or
Stephen Hathaway	7/28/2009

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/20/20

Date of Inspection

5 years

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility's production has reduced greatly due to the economic downturn. No operations were taking place during my inspection.