

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0090004 DATE: <u>11/10/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>13:00</u>	DEPART: <u>1</u>	<u>4:15</u>				
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL BREVARD HUM	IANE SOCIETY						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1020 COX RD							
COCOA 32926-4	1237						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: THERESA CLIFTON Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2011 / 9/1/20 (effective date) (end date)	016	<b>PHONE:</b> (321)636-3343 <b>Mobile:</b> (321)302-4828 <b>PHONE:</b> (321)636-3343 <b>Mobile:</b> (321)302-4828					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): THERESA	_	ŀ	(check <b>☑</b> only one pox for each question)				
Brief Notes: Ms. Clifton is Executive Director a	nd Mr. Schuchardt is the Co	remations Service Represent	<u>tative</u>				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still THERESA If no, who is?:	CLIFTON?		⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still THERESA CLIFTON? If no, who is?: James Schuchardt			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le	y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?		☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				

## $Emissions\ Unit\ Section \\ \underline{2-AnimalCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs, NG, tempM\&R, opacM, 200lbs/hr}$

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑	only one		
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		question)		
after August 30, 1989?	X Yes	□No		
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the				
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	✓ Voc	□No		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 800 🗵 lbs for batch unit 🔲 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.	X Yes	N0		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No		
4. Date of last inspection: $07/06/2006$				
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	N 17			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	X Yes	□No □No		
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	<u> </u>	\\0		
operation? 🖂 N/A	☐ Yes	□No		
d. Date of last VE test: <u>08/19/2011</u>				
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		∐No ∏No		
If no, what was the problem (if known)?	<u> </u>	NO		
PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check <b>☑</b>	only one		
	box for each of			
1 Was a might consider a test conducted by the facility for this mit down a this site mist?		•		
<b>1.</b> Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test?	I Yes	⊠No		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?				
☐ Yes ☐No	_			
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operation		∐No		
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.	Yes	□No		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No		
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six min				
2 Was a while amining test and said by the frame to Justice this 24 at 149	<b></b>	<b> №</b> -		
<b>2.</b> Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	Yes	⊠No		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?				
☐ Yes ☐No	_	_		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operation	ns?  Yes	□No		
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo				
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.				
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	□No		
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six min				
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?				
	☐ Yes	⊠No		
If yes, what reason?				

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (	worst)
			,
<b>2.</b> a	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?  (1) All temperature measurements  (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	⊠ Yes	□No
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		□No
	(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	□No
	(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	□No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	<b>-</b>	
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings		□No ⊠No
С.	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ally	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		□No
	exceeds 15% opacity?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	· L Yes	N0
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	□No
		(check 🗹	only one
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	question)
1.	If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	□No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?	ion Yes	□No
2.	If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	<u> </u>	
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremat	⊠ Yes	□No
	process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
PA	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each	
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor	ntainers,	
	are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics		
	as certified by the manufacturer?	Yes Yes	□No □No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the man 2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating particles shutdown and malfunction? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	check only one box	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No		
Facility Section (continued)					
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	ocation of the facility or any emissions un or administrative change at the facility? days of the change? eplacement? that is substantially different?	its or -	-		
Michael Young  Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature	November 10, 2011  Date of Inspection  5/13/2014  Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection			
COMMENTS:					