

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 29, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL dellis@readymixusa.com

Ms. Desire Ellis Environmental Manager Ready Mix USA, LLC Post Office Box 101868 Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Ellis:

On August 21, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Ready Mix USA Port St Joe Concrete Batch Plant ID 0470007. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Northwest District Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Mr. Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager (wileyw@readymixusa.com)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMP	T/DISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0450007 DATE: <u>8/21/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:3</u>	0 DEPART: <u>12:30</u>					
FACILITY NAME: PORT ST JOE READY MIX PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1001 CECIL COSTIN BLVD						
PORT ST JOE 32456						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Desire Ellis Email: dellis@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: WILEY WILLOUGHBY Email: wileyw@readymixusa.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/6/2010 / 3/6/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (205)986-4800 Mobile: (205)936-3572 PHONE: (850)785-1934 Mobile: (850)258-1634						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADE VA ONGVER ANTER ORVIGEORY A FEBRUAR						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike Bedwell	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Brief Notes: Plant was not operating at the time of this inspection 2. Is the Authorized Representative still Desire Ellis?	\(\sum \) Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \)					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 day 3. Is the facility contact still WILEY WILLOUGHBY?	ys? YesNoNo YesNoNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo 					

Emissions Unit Section

1 - CCB Plant-2silos ea w/b-hous,batcher/truck loadoutw/centr.DC subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		ļ			
		ļ			
		ļ			
1. Data of last improvious 6/20/2010		ļ			
1. Date of last inspection: <u>6/28/2010</u>	<u> </u>				
	Yes	∐ No			
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?\sumN/A	Yes	☐ No			
b. If tested: (na)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No			
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? na		L 1,0			
c. what caused the problem(s) (it known)? ha					
DADEN PREVIOUS DISCOURS DISCOURS DISCOURS DISCOURS					
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.					
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>					
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		ļ			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine	.A				
	a				
emissions by:					
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	ollowing:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	Z 105				
	N 37				
control emissions?	⊠ Yes	∐ No			
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the					
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne					
particulate matter?	⊠ Ves	\square No			
	Z 103	☐ 110			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	N				
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No			
or or experience of the control of t					
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:					
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		∐ No			
b. If tested: (NA)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		No No			
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA					

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Yes	 No No No No No No No
4.	$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} \leq 1.00?$ $23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} \qquad 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} \qquad 1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}$ Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	•	□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check v box for each	•
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing p	box for each question) box for each question) plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemsoil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c.	Yes No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Depart e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busi	tment or Local Air Program by telephone, ness day prior to changing location? Yes No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation to the Department or Local Air Program no later than fice. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 	ive business days following a relocation? Yes No Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a second content of the	separate air construction or air operation permit,
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emiss a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-ro If YES, what was the purpose?	utine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate ho co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No
	s in 2 a.b.c. and 3 a.b. are not applicable for this facility.
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical properations comprising the facility; or any other similar mit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	nor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 30 days of the change?
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershi 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacements.	Yes No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d. A change in ownership?	at is substantially different? Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new 30 days prior to the change?	
C. Mark Sumner	8/21/2012
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Mark Sen	August 2013
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: HS&E Resources, environmental consultant, conducted an EPA Method 9 visual emission test of the plant's two cement silos, fly ash silo, and weigh hopper (batcher) on September 8, 2011. The test report was received by the Department on September 12, 2011. This years EPA Method 9 visual emission test has been scheduled for October 15, 2012. This facility has a bag house for each silo and a separate dust collector for the weigh hopper. The weighhopper is equipped with a well enclosed shroud and no dust was observed at the time of this inspection. The facility has well maintained records for fuel consumption by the vehicles (the plant is powered by the electricity power grid), and material processed on a monthly basis. Dust control logs, bag house inspections logs, and BMP daily inspection logs were also available for review. The facility has wind breaks/binblock enclosures for the aggregate, and all stockpiles were stacked at the height of the enclosures to prevent wind erosion/entrainment of aggregate material.