

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	, , <u>—</u>
03/04/2009	ARRIVE: <u>12:15pm</u>	DEPART: <u>12:45pm</u>
ST JOE PLANT		
HWY 71 South		
PORT ST JOE 32456	j -	
EPRESENTATIVE: Wil	ley Willoughby PHON	IE: (850)258-1634
Bedwell	PHON	IE: (850)625-7842
9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) / (end date)	0	
MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
RDKEEPING REQUIRE (x(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	F.A.C.
os, weigh hoppers (batcher t necessary to limit visible on tests of the silo dust coll ntative of the normal silo lochievable in practice?e weigh hopper (batcher) of s'', then continue on to quest continue on to question 5.)-peration in operation during emissions test, was the batcher.	rs), and other enclosed storage a emissions to 5 percent opacity? lector exhaust points was the lo oading rate, or at least at the mi	Yes
	O3/04/2009 ST JOE PLANT HWY 71 South PORT ST JOE 32456 EPRESENTATIVE: Will Bedwell 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2011 (effective date) (end date) MPLIANCE STATUS (compared to the more of the silo dust collection of the normal silo lection of	ARRIVE: 12:15pm To Joe Plant Hwy 71 South PORT ST JOE 32456- EPRESENTATIVE: Wiley Willoughby PHON Bedwell PHON 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) (end date) MPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICA EXECUTE SIGNIFICA ROKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, For such as the state of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the lonative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the michievable in practice? Execute weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo of silon to the question state of the silon during the visible emissions test?—— Execute the continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test?—— Permissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the emissions test.

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
(check in appropriate box(es)	
 Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
annual compnance demonstration: (Rule 02-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable (4) the stationary (4) appropriate box(es)) 	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	Sing Yes No Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas application of water or environmentally safe du emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and of re-entrainment, and from building or work area reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment withou	ıt replacement? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	different than that noted on the most		
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did th	ne owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4	4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes No		
Gerald Sheehan	03/04/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Gerald Sheehan			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: I was met by Mr. Mike Bedwell the plant mar	nager who provided me with copies of requested records and who		
	operation at the time of this inspection. Records indicate that weekly		
maintenance is performed on the baghouse. I did not observe any open container of VOC's or other organic solvents. The most recent VE testing was performed on June 5, 2008 by H.S. & E. Resources, Inc., on the cement and fly ash baghouse as well as on			
the truck loading operations. The reported opacity was 0%.	. Resources, file., on the coment and my ash bagnouse as wen as on		

The total of product produced in 2008 was 5,186.25 yards (~13,743 tons)