

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		ERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:			
AIRS ID#: 0450007 DA	TE: <u>2/22/2008</u>	ARRIVE: 9:30am	DEPART: <u>10:30am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PORT ST JOE PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION	N: HWY 71 South					
	PORT ST JOE 324:	56-				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800						
CONTACT NAME: T	roy Simbson	PHON	NE: (850)229-8858			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010						
	(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
		DENTENIES D. L. CA AOC 414 L	T. A. C.			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
		his site visit according to EPA M	1ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
2. Are emissions fro	om silos, weigh hoppers (batch	ners), and other enclosed storage	and conveying equipment			
	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions fro	om the weigh hopper (batcher)	operation controlled by the silo	dust collector? (If answer			
		uestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	nswer is "No" then 			
a) Was the batchi	ing operation in operation dur	ing the visible emissions test?	\(\times Yes \) No			
duration?						
		peration are controlled by a dust	-			
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? \(\subseteq Yes \subseteq No \)						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
U-southed Emissions (Dula 62 206 220(4)(a) EAC)					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take:	resconable precautions to control unconfined	ı			
emissions by:	reasonable precautions to control ancommen				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar	rds which shall include one or more of the fo	llowing:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar		⊠Yes □ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup					
emissions?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ⊠Yes □ No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re	educe airborne particulate matter?				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	missions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	nle 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	III Um-m10:000(1)(u/1); 1 1110:				
11011 01 1120011100 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes ⊠ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla	acement?	☐Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different	rent than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?		Yes No			
	d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,	FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?					
Gerald Sheehan	2/22/2008				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
hispector's ivalie (ricase i iliit)	Date of hispection				
		_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Mr. Troy Simbson met me at the facility and escorted me on the inspection. Records were made available for my					

COMMENTS: Mr. Troy Simbson met me at the facility and escorted me on the inspection. Records were made available for my inspection. Batching and loading operations were not occurring at the time of my inspection. VE testing was performed on May 10, 2007 with a 0% VE reported.