WHERE PROTECTION	
State Deserve	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

SCOVERY (CI)							
DEPART: <u>9:40am</u>							
PHONE: (727)455-5212							
Mobile: PHONE: (813)236-1791							
Mobile:							
NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check ☑ only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC A. 1. Existing small area source 2. New small area source							
a source							

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 154.40 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		````	check ☑ x for each o	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes	🛛 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?		Yes	No No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	□ No	N/A
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC				

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:		`	check ☑ x for each c	-
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🛛 No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes Yes	D No	N/A N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		`	check 🗹 ox for each c	only one question)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used	
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to	
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate	
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer	
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes No N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of	
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along	
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or	
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per	
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes No N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations	
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and	
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting	
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?	
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🗍 N/A h) Stills 🖾 Yes	
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l)	
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills X c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers X	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? ☑ Leak log documentation ☑ RO Assurances □ On-site observation □ other Explain other : Only performing the weekly inspection for perceptible leaks. No documentation on a calendar. 							
Jessica Lopez 10/15/2012							
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
1 month							
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						

COMMENTS: The perc machine was runnig today. The Air calendar was being completed. EPC staff detected some leaks around the back of the machine. The owner was advised to correct them. He states that he plans to change a gasket around the filter door on the left. There were ambient emmissions around the waste containers. The owner tighten the ring around the containers and problem was resolved during the inspection. Needs follow-up inspection (FUI).