

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/D | DISCOVERY (CI) |
|--|--|---|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0112301 DA | ГЕ: <u>7/22/11</u> | ARRIVE: <u>1335</u> | DEPART: <u>1540</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: J&H | H QUALITY DRYCLEANERS | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | : 825 W SAMPLE RD | | |
| | DEERFIELD BEACH | 33064-2002 | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: ihazoor@hotn CONTACT NAME: sa Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO | me | AN HAZOOR | PHONE: (954)785-3689 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: |
| PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANCE | COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch | | ONIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE |
| PART II: FACILITY C | LASSIFICATION - Rule 62- only one box in A) | -213.300 FAC | |
| transfer only, both types, x - (constructed by a constructed by a construc | y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr pefore 12/9/91) | transfer only, both types, x (constructed of types). 4. New large ar dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14 | ly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91) |
| B . The sum of the value cleaning facility value. | - | (perc) purchases made | e in each of the previous 12 months by this dry |

| PA | ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | | | only o | | |
|--|---|-------------|-----|--|----|-------------|-----|--|
| 1. | Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 2. | Are all perc. containers leak free ? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 3. | Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | | |
| 4. | Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 5. | Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 6. | Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds | | | | | | | |
| | maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| PΛ | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213,300 FAC | | | | | | | |
| | efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form) | | | | | | | |
| | 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V. | | | | | | | |
| 2. If the facility classification is a new small area source , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. | | | | | | | | |
| 3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | | | | | | | |
| A. | Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources: | | | | | only o | | |
| 1. | Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | | Yes | | No | | | |
| 2. | Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 3. | Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| 4. | Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |
| l 5 | | | | | | | | |
| ٥. | Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A | |

| PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| B. For all existing large or new large area sources: | | | | | | |
| 1. Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, | | Vac | | No | | |
| reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | Ш | Yes | <u> </u> | No | | |
| 2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured | | | | | | |
| and recorded weekly? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly | | | | | | |
| at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | . \square | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| in indefinites are equipped exertasively with a earson dasorder. | | 105 | | 110 | | 1,071 |
| a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring | | | | | | |
| perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, | | | | | | |
| contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, | | | | | | |
| contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual | | | | | | |
| 3. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, rectamicis, and washers) with individual | | Yes | | No | П | N/A |
| condenser coils? | . | 1 62 | | | | |
| condenser coils? | | 168 | | | _ | |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | _ | No | | N/A |
| | | | _ | No | | N/A |
| | | | _ | No | | N/A |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | | _ | No | | N/A |
| | | Yes | (check | V | only o | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | V | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes (bo | (check lox for each | ☑ (ach q | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes (bo | (check I ox for each | ☑ (ach q | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes (bo | (check I ox for each | ☑ (ach q | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes (bo | (check I ox for each | ☑ (ach q | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes (bo | (check l | ☑ (ach q | - | one |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes (bo | (check l | ☑ dach q No No | - | one on) |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes (bo | (check I ox for each | ☑ dach q No No | uestio | one on) |
| 6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes (bo Yes Yes | (check l ox for each | ach q No No No | westio | one on) |
| PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | (check l ox for each | Mo No No No No No | westio | one on) N/A N/A N/A |
| PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? 2. Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? 3. Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? 4. Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? 5. Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | (check I ox for each | Mo No No No No No No No No | westio | one on) N/A N/A |
| PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | (check I ox for each of the characters) | Mo No | westion | nne nn) N/A N/A N/A |
| PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | (check I ox for each of the characters) | Mo No No No No No No No No | westion | one on) N/A N/A N/A |
| PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | (check l ox for each | Mo No No No No No No No No No | westion | nne on) N/A N/A N/A |

| PA | ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | (check 🗹 | only one |
|----|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | bo | ox for each | question) |
| | ☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes | ☐ No | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | |
| | the interface periphery? \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 6. | Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm | nell or | touch) while | le the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | ection | of perceptib | le leaks) |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills X | | □ No□ No□ No□ No□ No | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f | enated | hydrocarbo | on detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph of the system) of the system is in operation? | raph sh | hall satisfy th | ne |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)) | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | □ No□ No□ No□ No□ No | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rul | le 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed \omega \text{Leak log documentation } \omega \text{RO Assurances} Explain other: | _ • | |
| | | |
| Art Pennetta | 7/22/11 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | 7/12 | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| COMMENTS: | | |