$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INSTRE-INSPECTION (F		DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775020 DATE: <u>3/12/13</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: INDEPENDENCE RECY	CLING INC				
FACILITY LOCATION: 10126 US Hwy	y 92E				
TAMPA 336	510				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KELIE TALLENTIRE Email: ktallentire@indexc.com CONTACT NAME: Email: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/29/2012 / 1/29/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (216)446-3568 Mobile: PHONE: (813)247-4114 Mobile:					
Facility Section					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADTH, ONCITE INTRODUCTORY MED	TING				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Russ G Brief Notes:			(check ☑ only one ox for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KELIE If no, who is?:	TALLENTIRE?	[☐ Yes ☐No		
If different, did the facility provide an admini 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No		

Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-crusher, screen, 5 conveyors & diesel RICE 325 Hp, 300 T/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No
3.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	□ Vaa	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	 Yes Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusher, screen, 5 conveyors \& diesel RICE 325 Hp, 300 T/hr}$

be	the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	elt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
0	inding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
ſΛ	Jote: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	hich separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	th sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	lely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
50	tely by their suppression systems as not consider out to be summated for purposes by this definition.		
10. Is	the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	ownstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	inding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
51	maing him of storage on in the production line.		
{λ	lote: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	ty nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	ineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	oisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	rough screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	et suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.		
,,,	resuppression systems is not constacted to be suturated for purposes of this definition.		
If ans	swer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	art OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
ıj inc	unswort to an of the six Questions 3 To above is 110 then commune to Question 11.		
11. W	hen was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. W	as the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
		1 1 1 05	INU
120 ,,	as the De constructed, mounted, or reconstructed on or arter 4/22/2000.	1 Cs	110
	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		140
			NO
If ans		1 CS	
If ans	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	☐ Yes	□No
If ans	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
If ans	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
If ans	oes the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		_
If ans 13.Do	oes the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		_
If ans 13.Do If ans 14.In	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
If ans 13.Do If ans 14.In	oswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 oses the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests:		_
If ans 13.Do If ans 14.In a.	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	☐ Yes	No
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b.	the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No
If ans 13.Do If ans 14.In a. b. c.	the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	
If ans 13.Do If ans 14.In a. b. c.	the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d.	oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d.	oes the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine	the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ind	titial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU; Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine	titial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? West the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with euc? N/A Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial vent test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine	the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine	titial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? The EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? The EU is a puilding enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? The EU is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine a.	titial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine a. b.	swer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 best the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? swer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 itial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If ans 13.De If ans 14.In a. b. c. d. 15.If ine a. b. c.	titial Tests: Was an initial PM stack test performed on the compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusher, screen, 5 conveyors \& diesel RICE 325 Hp, 300 T/hr}$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusher, screen, 5 conveyors \& diesel RICE 325 Hp, 300 T/hr}$

2. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo					
initial startup of the EU? N/A					☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	nich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in comple	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
3.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	'		☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main					
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	e.		
		al basis in accordance with man			
				Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•		1250		
and	essure.				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	gliquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	.	
		ance with manufacturer's instru		☐ Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with		_	_ _
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•				
When was the last VE test conduct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	_ **	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?					
				∐ Yes	□No
11. has the EU been tested yet w	othin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes	∐No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the on	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?				Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
a. Did the viz test demonstrate comp	granice with the spacify				
6. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_ _	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
•	•				
	VE Opac	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60°	constructed, modified,	_	ted, modif	ïed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		01
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	uitti 7/2	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
in one ancica Los	2070	10/0	i .	/ /0	1

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? \square N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	_
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) o Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes Anne/yr ≤ 1.00 e/yr	No No No No No No
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question) NoNoNoNo
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) 	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)] -	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes Yes	No No No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone massociated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 	umber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or inor administrative change at the facility? YesNo	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershi 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repl c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment th d) A change in ownership?	Yes No No	
William Coffman_	3/12/13	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Facility has relocated to Hillsborough Coun	ty.Permit was renewed effective 1/29/12 Thru 1/29/17.Unit 7775019	

is now on site.