NUMBER	PROTECTION
Addition .	A cause
FLOR	DA

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7770519 001 DATE: 5/31/2012 ARRIVE: 12:00pm DEPART: FACILITY NAME: Independence Recycling, Inc. FACILITY LOCATION: Mobile at 800 Anclote Road	<u>12:30pm</u>				
FACILITY LOCATION: Mobile at 800 Anclote Road Tarpon Springs, FL OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Ray Wiecek PHONE: 216-524-0999 Email: Mobile: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Scott E. Schroeder PHONE: 216-524-0999 Email: / Mobile: CONTACT NAME: / 216-524-0999 Email: / Mobile: CONTITLEMENT PERIOD: / 216-524-0999 (effective date) (end date) Mobile:					
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION : Concrete Asphalt Crusher Unit A: 300 tph Hazmad, Model 1515, Serial No. KR1515/3159					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)				
 2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY WIECEK?	 Yes □No Yes □No Yes □No 				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section <u>1-Crusher Unit A</u>

(check 🗹	only one
1 C 1	· · · ·

		box for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
1			

<u>1 – Crusher Unit A</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X N/A <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i> <i>purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from</i> 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 – Crusher Unit A</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, the owner operator: If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	_	_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
 b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	🗌 Yes	⊠N/A
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	🗌 Yes	⊠N/A
 corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		⊠N/A □No

|--|

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed	rmed on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			A L	Yes 🗌 No	
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particulai	e matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	anaa with the DM limit	af 0.05 a/dsam (0.022 ar/dsaf)			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				YesNo	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	in non-vent bunding ope	enings less than of equal to 7%		YesNo	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EU?			YesNo	
If yes, does the owner/operator main	ain and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measu					
scrubber and the device has bee				_	
instructions?				YesNo	
{Note: The monitoring device r		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
and			1 1.1		
b. a device for the continuous measu				Vee 🗌 Ne	
device has been calibrated on a				YesNo	
{Note: The monitoring device r of design scrubbing liquid flow	-	nanufacturer to be accurate with	1111 +3 %		
of design scrubbing fiquid now	Tate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/operat	or for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			vears?	YesNo	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		r	,		
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		YesNo	
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes 🖾No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes 🖾No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	🛛	YesNo	
Rate:			_	_	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			· 🖂	YesNo	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	bliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	· 🛛	YesNo	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes Xes					
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit:					
Rate:	seess rate that is represe	native of the normal fate:		100	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -			YesNo	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the highest	six-minute average			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				YesNo	
VE Opacity Limits					
			Submert OC		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OC		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstru		
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/20		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12	2%	

20%

10%

All other affected EUs

7%

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? X N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🗌 Yes	duestion)
 Does this facility include: any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	or	XNo
 b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		⊠No

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? [] Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? [] Yes	No
<u>(</u> 25	<u>) gal diesel/yr</u> + (<u>) gal gasoline/yr</u> + (<u>) MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + (<u>) MM gal propane/yr</u> ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	No

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	_
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🗌 Yes	L.No
3	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		No
5.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🗌 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(0 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	2
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		No
N	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?		🖾No
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	d) A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted	
	30 days prior to the change?		⊠□No

Shea Jackson

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/31/2012

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

5/31/2012- Discovery of facility located on SunCoast Paving property. Crusher had processed 7000 tons of concrete and temporarily left the unit on site. Mr. Bill Camm stated 7000 tons of concrete crushed on site, the emission unit had been on site since April 30th and started crushing 5/3/2012. The unit had crushed until 5/14/2012 before stopped crushing operating. The crusher is crushing concrete for their contracts. The responsible official is Scott Schroeder. 216-374-5755. The independence facility contact was Mr. Greg Moro. The Haz Mag unit #6-9060 4 conveyors and screen crusher is operating independent from Suncoast and have stated would not be crushing asphalt. They had not notified Pinellas County of location in county. I contacted Mr. Moro and received copy of notification, no date stamp so contacted FDEP and checked with Danielle Henry was informed the rock crushers are now monitored by FDEP 'Wendy.Akins@dep.state.fl.us' re notification official. It was stated to be official notification with 2011 VE test accepted by FDEP, startup date 5/3/2012, and permit does not expire until 1/26/2013.

Independence Recycling, Inc. Punta Gorda

Mobile at 800 Anclote Road, Tarpon Springs



Project Id:	<u>83135</u>	Permit No: 1030519-006-AG	Arms Number: <u>0519 001</u>
Inspector:	Shea Jackson	Inspection Date / Time: 5/31/2012 /	
Source (EU):	Concrete Aspha	alt Crusher Unit A: 300 tph Hazmad, Model 1	515, Serial No. KR1515/3159
Description:	[Facility trail	er for diesel generator on site.	

Independence Recycling, Inc. Punta Gorda

Mobile at 800 Anclote Road, Tarpon Springs



Project Id:	<u>83135</u>	Permit No: 1030519-006-AG	Arms Number: 0519 001
Inspector:	Shea Jackson	Inspection Date / Time: 5/31/2012 /	
Source (EU):	Concrete Aspha	alt Crusher Unit A: 300 tph Hazmad, Model	515, Serial No. KR1515/3159
Description:	[Stock pile o	f concrete to be crushed and Conveye	rs.]

Independence Recycling, Inc. Punta Gorda

Mobile at 800 Anclote Road, Tarpon Springs



Project Id:	<u>83135</u>	Permit No: 1030519-006-AG	Arms Number: <u>0519 001</u>
Inspector:	Shea Jackson	Inspection Date / Time: 5/31/2012 /	
Source (EU):	Concrete Aspha	alt Crusher Unit A: 300 tph Hazmad, Model 1	515, Serial No. KR1515/3159
Description:	[Hopper Fee	der part of crusher]	