	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0950192 DAT	'E: <u>6/5/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:30</u>	DEPAR	Г: <u>3:30</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ORA	ANGE COUNTY ANIMAL SEF	RVICES			
FACILITY LOCATION:	2769 CONROY RD				
	ORLANDO 32839-216	52			
	REPRESENTATIVE: KAT	HERINE LOCKETT		144	
Email: CONTACT NAME: DA Email: david.morton@ ENTITLEMENT PERIO	Øocfl.net		Mobile: PHONE: (407)254-9 Mobile:	150	
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (cho	-			
IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE 🛛 SIG	NIFICANT Non-COM	PLIANCE	
L					
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTR</u>	ODUCTORY MEETING				
1. Name(s) of facility repr	1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Nelly Arroyo, Debra Villella, & Don Bruce</u>				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Repre If no, who is?: <u>Dil Lut</u>	esentative still KATHERINE LO <u>ther</u>	OCKETT?		Yes	⊠No
	lity provide an administrative up ill DAVID MORTON? <u>Villella</u>				⊠No ⊠No
4. Will facility be conduct If yes, was the compliar	ing VE test(s) during today's ins nce authority notified at least 15	spection? days in advance?			⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 2 – Animal Crematory-2chmbr, NGfired, w/temp&opac.monitor, 400#/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No			
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time					
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No			
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 400 \square lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.					
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	🛛 Yes	No			
4. Date of last inspection: $9/3/2010$					
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:					
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		⊠No			
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No			
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing					
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No			
d. Date of last VE test: $\frac{8/23/2010}{2}$					
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		No			
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	No			
If no, what was the problem (if known)?					

PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? -----Yes X..No b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ------Yes ...No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ..No Yes d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? -----Yes ...No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of _____% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? -----**Yes** ...No (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ------**Yes** X..No b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ------**Yes** ...No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes ..No d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ------Yes ...No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of _____% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ------Yes ...No (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards? Yes X..No If yes, what reason?

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Yes	XNo
	Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	No
c.	 Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 	🛛 Yes	No
	all continuous monitoring system performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on) (4) Adjustments	YesYes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
	(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	TYes	XNo
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	No
	 (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		No
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation	ļ
		No
2.	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
		No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	
	process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No

PA	PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS				
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate contai are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? [If yes, what other materials?	ners, Ves	⊠No		
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? [If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use? [⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No		

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? ------ Yes ...No 2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? -----Yes X..No 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? -----X..No **Yes** If no, skip a - b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? -----**Yes** □..No b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? -----**Yes** ...No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check download only one box)

IN	COMPLIANCE

] MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE 🛛 🛛 SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES

Administrative Changes:

 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or 🛛 Yes	□No ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes	□No □No □No □No □No

Mary Lawrence

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/5/2014

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Department staff, Mary Lawrence and Patrick Farris, conducted a compliance inspection at the facility on June 5th, 2014. The facility was found to be out of compliance with permit No. 0950192-006-AG. The Department's findings during the inspection are the following:

- 1) Department records indicate the facility has not performed its annual Visible Emissions (VE) test since 2010.
- 2) The continuous monitoring system records were not available for review.
- 3) The cremation unit's maintenance records were not available for review.
- 4) Temperature charts were available for review. However, most of the charts were not the appropriate size for the unit. Hence, temperature markings were not accurate.
- 5) Documentation showing that the cremation containers do not contain more than 0.5% by weight chlorinated plastics was not available for review.
- 6) The cremation unit's startup, shutdown and malfunction (SSM) plan was not available for review.

The facility shall complete the following corrective actions in order to bring the emission unit back into compliance:

- 1) Within 30 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall conduct a VE Test (EPA Method 9). The facility performed a VE test and submitted it to the Department on June 26, 2014.
- 2) Within 15 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall provide the Department the continuous monitoring system's maintenance (preventive and corrective), performance, calibration and adjustment records, which are required to be maintained on-site for at least 2 years.
- 3) Within 15 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall provide the Department the records for all maintenance performed on the cremation unit during the past 2 years.
- 4) Within 15 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall provide the Department records that demonstrate the secondary chamber combustion zone did not operate at less than 1600 degrees Fahrenheit.
- 5) The facility shall immediately begin using temperature charts that are adequately sized for the unit, per the manufacturer's specifications.
- 6) Within 15 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall provide documentation that shows the cremation containers do not contain more than 0.5% by weight chlorinated plastics.
- 7) Within 15 days from receipt of the inspection report, the facility shall provide the cremation unit's SSM plan to the Department.

*Note: Items left unmarked in Part III 2.e. and Part IV of this report are due to the unavailability of records and inadequate monitoring. Inspectors were unable to determine if the unit is maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications, and if the secondary combustion zone temperatures are operating in compliance.