

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0950192 DATE: 1/15/10 ARRIVE: DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: ORANGE CO ANIMAL SERVICES	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2769 CONROY RD	
ORLANDO 32839	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID MORTON PHONE: (407)254-9150	
CONTACT NAME: Brad Neill PHONE: (407)254-9150	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/5/2007 / 11/5/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?]Yes □ No]Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □ 3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)	Yes □ No lle]Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes □ No lle]Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	_Yes ☐ No le]Yes ☐ No]Yes ☐No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes □ No lle]Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	_Yes ☐ No lle]Yes ☐ No]Yes ☐No]Yes ☐No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	_Yes □ No lle]Yes □ No]Yes □ No]Yes □ No]Yes □ No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No Ile No Yes No
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	Yes No Ile No Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t				
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co				
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	U Yes	l No		
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		No No		
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	cording of	f such		
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?				
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	Yes	🗌 No		
2) Monitoring device	Yes	🗌 No		
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	🗌 No		
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes	No No		
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	No No		
6) Adjustments	TYes	No No		
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	TYes	No		
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	No		
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)				
a) <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)				
b) \boxed{ON} or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)				
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:				
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	Yes	□ No		
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	TYes	□ No		
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature				
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	Yes	∐ No		
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to				
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the				
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	∐ No		
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:				
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence times a second residence time a second residence		—		
@ 1800° F?	Yes	∐ No		
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	_	_		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	🛛 No		
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	n	_		
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	No No		
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated				
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	Yes	No No		
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	/			
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of				
their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes	No No		
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	—			
thick?	Yes	No No		
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other				
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	Yes	□ No		
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?				
 During this review period, was the targest baten road elemated 500 pounds per road of ress. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? 				
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration				
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?		🗌 No		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	les			

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

A <u>Item of Mounted Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		_
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit 	Yes	∐No
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	□Yes	□No
a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?	Yes	

Allen Rainey

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/15/10

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

1. I, Allen Rainey, performed an INS2 re-inspection to add to the information collected during the 12/10/09 inspection. I met with Mr. Brad Neill, Shelter Supervisor, who escorted me to the crematory.

2 The Crawford Equipment & Engineering Co. crematory, model C-1000S, serial #1 CP 9121-1201ULSP, was started at 9:00 a.m. and achieved 1,600 degrees F. at approx. 9:07 a.m. I connected the Central District thermocouple calibrator to the crematory thermocouple and obtained temperature readings: thermometer = 1,883 degrees F., digital display on chart recorder = 1,910 degrees F. (~ 25 degrees difference).

3. The thermocouple was measured to be approx. 49" away from the rear (stack end) of the unit on the right side.

3. Mr. Neill reiterated that most of the records are maintained offsite. I did not request to see any temperature charts. Mr. Neill attended to other responsibilities while I used the thermocouple thermometer. He said he began using a new log to list all batch cremations on one sheet.

4. Four photos were taken.

5. See answers to questions in parts II - IV in the 12/15/09 inspection.