

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	T NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0950192 DA	TE: <u>12/10/09</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:	_	
FACILITY NAME: OF	RANGE CO ANIMAL SERVI	CES			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 2769 CONROY RD				
	ORLANDO 32839				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	CD REPRESENTATIVE: D	AVID MORTON PH	IONE: (407)254-9150		
CONTACT NAME: B	Brad Neill	PH	IONE: (407)254-9150		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 11/5/2007 / 11/5/20 (effective date) (end date)				
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	• —	FICANT Non-COMPLIANC	E	
PART II: TESTING/RI	CORDKEEPING REQUIR	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.40)1. F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropria	` ''		,		
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any obtained the control of the	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected?-			☐ Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the	his site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	NoNoNo
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible eminima 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demoniately prior to the American days pri	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed AGP Notification form submis	his site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date?	Yes (Rule	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible eminima 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonimate days prior to the factor of the	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed AGP Notification form submis F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ssion, and within 60 days prio	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? opticable standards testing	☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes	No No □
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demondant completed within a) Carbon Monore 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed AGP Notification form submisted F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ssion, and within 60 days price	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (emissions test conducted for to each anniversary date? (emissions test conducte	☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demone days prior to the another formula of 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demone completed within a) Carbon Monother volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source complex of the strate individual source complex of the strate individual source complex of the AGP Not the	his site visit according to EPA- liance, was an annual visible ssion, and within 60 days pric liance were the remaining ap tification form submission? (or below the requirements of urly average basis and tested	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (plicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method	☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the Acceptage of the following prior to the Acceptage of t	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed AGP Notification form submistrate individual source completed to 60 days prior to the AGP Notification equal to 63, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hore 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Mutter emissions test with results	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible sision, and within 60 days pric liance were the remaining ap tification form submission? (or below the requirements of urly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to or below the require	emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 107, F.A.C.)?ements of 0.080 grains per	☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	NoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demondays prior to demondays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demondays prior to demondays and the following demondays demondays the following demondays demond	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed (as price of the strate individual source completed (by the strate individual source completed (consistent of the strategy) of the strategy of the st	his site visit according to EPA diance, was an annual visible assion, and within 60 days prioritiance were the remaining ap- tification form submission? (or below the requirements of urly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) equal to 0r below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according	emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (plicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 107, F.A.C.)?ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5	☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demonday completed within a) Carbon Monoton volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test poor of Particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-5. Was all emissions capacity?	pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed (CO) emissions equal to co, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an horogen of the ACP. F.A.C.)?	his site visit according to EPA diance, was an annual visible ssion, and within 60 days price liance were the remaining ap tification form submission? (or below the requirements of urly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) equal to or below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (plicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method (7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended	Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No No No
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demond days prior to the acceptance of 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demond completed within a) Carbon Monoton volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test properticulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-5. Was all emissions capacity?	pjectionable odor(s) detected?- ssions test conducted during the strate individual source completed (CO) emissions equal to co, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an horizon formed according to EPA Matter emissions test with results c foot (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected to 297, F.A.C.)?	his site visit according to EPA- liance, was an annual visible ssion, and within 60 days pric liance were the remaining ap tification form submission? (or below the requirements of urly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to or below the require d to 7% O ₂ and tested accord urce operating at the manufa	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (plicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 77, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended an identical crematory unit? nal compliance test?	Yes Yes	 No No No No No No

ART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temnerati	ires in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber of		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording o	f cuch
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	coluing o	1 Sucii
	□ v	⊠ Ma
1) All measurements (including CEMS)		⊠ No
2) Monitoring device	☐Yes ☐Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	_	
6) Adjustments	Yes	No No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		No No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes	⊠ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) On or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	_	_
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	∐Yes	∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	_	_
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	∐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	□Yes	☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time (a) 1800° F?		□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_	_
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	⊠ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	on	
process begins in the primary chamber?	□Yes	⊠ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated		
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	□Yes	□ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	1 C3	☐ 1 10
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes	□ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	□ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the durati		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes	☐ No
or the operator of employment of for an additional two years after termination of employment;		_ 1,0

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment?			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No □No □No
Allen Rainey Inspector's Name (Please Print)	12/10/09 Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS:

- 1. I, Allen Rainey, performed an INS2 inspection. I met with Mr. Brad Neill, Shelter Supervisor, who provided temperature charts and escorted me to the crematory.
- 2 The Crawford Equipment & Engineering Co. crematory was not in operation upon my arrival, but it was started about a half hour prior to my departure. No animals were added to the crematory during my visit. A crematory operator cleaned out bone fragments before turning on the unit. The unit is fueled by propane and has a process rate of 200 lbs./hr.
- 3. Mr. Neill stated Orange County is currently bidding for a new crematory.
- 4. I requested temperature charts from December 2007 to the present and was given temperature charts from only January 2009 to August 2009. Mr. Neill stated all other records, including maintenance/repair/calibration records, are kept off-site. The cremation date, time, number of pounds, and operator initials are recorded on a separate log sheet from the temperature charts. I reviewed all the records for January 2009 and noted several dates when operation occurred below 1,600 degrees F. or cremations began before reaching 1,600 degrees F. Many charts had no temperature markings. Because of the numerous number of noncompliant dates, I spot-checked the rest of the temperature charts that were provided up to August 2009 and told Mr. Neill which ones I wanted to be copied for our file. Before departing, Mr. Neill provided copies of some of the temperature charts. I stated I could get the rest when I returned to complete the inspection. Some of the charts I reviewed were missing the corresponding log sheet that shows the date, time, pounds and operator. The records were disorganized loosely by month in file folders.
- 5. It appeared that there were many dates of unexplained crematory operation. According to Mr. Neill, the unit is turned on sometimes hours before the first cremation batch is loaded. Additionally, it appears that the crematory sometimes runs long after the last batch is loaded.
- 6. The crematory unit is outside under roof cover and is partially sheltered by walls. It was raining during the inspection, and to avoid getting wet, I did not take a close look at the crematory.
- 7. Mr. Neill stated there are at least six crematory operators. Mr. Neill attended to other responsibilities while I reviewed the temperature charts and watched the operator clean out the unit.
- 8. Three photos were taken.
- 9. Noncompliance items noted thus far: Failure to record temperature markings, failure to make indication of when cremation in the primary chamber began, operation below 1,600 degrees F.
- 10. Questions 3 8 in Part II, 3 & 7 in Part III, and 2 & 3 in Part IV are not applicable. Question 5 in Part III is unknown. Questions pertaining to thermocouple placement will be determined at a later date.