

## CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING/ANODIZING



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS		MPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0950329 DATE: <u>9/7/2012</u>	ARR	IVE: <u>9:15</u>	DEPART: <u>9:</u> 4	<u>46</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ELECTRO CHROMIUM CO INC					
FACILITY LOCATION: 549 N ORANGE BLOSSOM TRL					
ORLANDO 32805-1437					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN MARTINEAU Email: CONTACT NAME: Glenn Martineau Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/7/2011 / 7/7/2016 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (407)435-7240 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: CLASSIFICATION – Rule 62-213.300 FAC Facility type(s)/applicable standard as indicated on notification form:  1. Hard Chromium Plating  a. Existing Large (0.015 mg/dscm)  b. Existing Small (0.03 mg/dscm) ————————————————————————————————————					
c. New (0.015 mg/dscm)   d. Alternative Standard for existing facilities (0.03 mg/dscm) using a rolling average of rectifier capacity (less than 60 million A-hr/year)					
2. <u>Decorative Chromium Plating/Anodizing</u>					
a. <u>Chromic Acid Bath</u>	2) Surface tension of	01/mg/dscm (4.4x10 <sup>-6</sup> gr $6 \le 45$ dynes/cm (3.1x10) ected if a wetting agent	$^{-3}$ lb-f/ft)	3	
b. <u>Trivalent Chromium</u> <u>Bath</u>		ntgent $\leq$ 0.01mg/dscm (4.			
c. <u>Chromium</u> <u>Anodizing</u>	2) Surface tension of	01 mg/dscm (4.4x10 <sup>-6</sup> gr f 45 dynes/cm (3.1x10 <sup>-3</sup> ected if a wetting agent	lb-f/ft)		

PART III: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-213.300 FAC	
(Select control	
	DEVICE IN USE?
<del></del> /	
	☐Yes ☐No
2. Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator	□Yes □No
3. Packed Bed Scrubber	□Yes □No
4. Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	Yes No
5. Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	Yes No
6. Eume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	⊠Yes □No
Has the facility conducted an initial performance test to establish monitoring parameters? (Not required for sources using a wetting agent or 1-inch foam blanket thickness)	□Yes □No ⊠N/A
PART IV: <u>RECORDKEEPING/REPORTING</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.3000	3)
Has the responsible official maintained the following records?	
1. Quarterly inspection records for add-on air pollution control devices and	
monitoring equipment. (applicable only to a facility using a packed bed scrubber,	fiber-bed
mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	
2. Operations and Maintenance Plan (OMP). (applicable only to a facility using a	packed bed
scrubber, fiber-bed mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	□Yes □No ⊠N/A
3. Maintenance records for the source, add-on pollution control devices, and	
monitoring equipment (equipment identified, date performed, description)	⊠Yes □No
4. Records of date of occurrence, duration, cause, and corrective action of each	
malfunction of process, add-on pollution control device, and monitoring equipment	
5. Results of all performance tests	
6. Records of monitoring data. (not applicable to trivalent chromium baths using a	
agent)	- ∐Yes ∐No ⊠N/A
Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	☐Yes ☐No
Packed Bed Scrubber	
Measure the pressure drop across the PBS and the inlet velocity daily	□Yes □No
Fiber-Bed Mist Eliminator	
Measure the pressure drop across the FBME and the upstream device daily	□Yes □No
Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	□Yes □No
Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	
Measure the foam blanket thickness at the appropriate interval	☐Yes ☐No
Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	
Measure the surface tension at the appropriate interval	∑Yes □No
7. Purchase records of wetting agent components	∑Yes □No □N/A
8. Records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the bath	∑Yes □No □N/A
9. Records of rectifier capacity, if used to determine facility size	☐Yes ☐No ⊠N/A
10. Records of the total process operating time.	∑Yes □No
11. Records identifying specific periods of excess emissions	
12. Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction Plan	⊠Yes □No

Assefa Hailemariam	9/7/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	~9/7/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

**COMMENTS:** The facility was found to be incompliance with their air permit and all records were kept according to the air permit. Three out of the five cromic acid baths were operating at the time inspection. No odor was detected during the inspection.