

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0950181 DATE: <u>12/1/09</u> ARRIVE: <u>07:45</u> DEPART: <u>10:40</u>
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC FLORIDA/BITHLO RMC
FACILITY LOCATION: 17237 E Colonial Dr
ORLANDO 32820-2206
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER PHONE: (954)425-4227
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/20/2008 / 9/20/2013
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ⊠Yes □ No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u> </u>	
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant	t take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	-	ļ
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas		10
	ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	ļ
	⊠Yes □ N	10
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	l
	as to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes N	10
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		l
particulate matter from stock piles?		
	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Box Yes $\overline{\Box}$ N	
<u> </u>		'
PARTY CRECIAL COMPUTIONS AND BROCEDURE	G D 1 (4 440 400/4)/IV4 E 4 C	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	ľ
A. New or Modified Process Equipment		ļ
to Company to the standard bear		ļ
1. Since the last inspection has there been		**
a) installation of any new process equipment:		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	ut replacement? Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.		_
local program office?		No
Assefa Hailemariam	12/1/2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	~12/2010	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS. No emissions were observed and no dust leav	ving the property during the inspection that was preformed on this	•
date.	ing the property during the inspection that was preferance on the	