

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	(CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0210061 DA 7	ГЕ: <u>12/21/2006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:20 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:05 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SAME DAY CLEANERS					
FACILITY LOCATION: 9853 Tamiami Trail N					
	NAPLES 34108				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: PAUL BREEHNE		PHONE:	PHONE: (239)597-5851		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	2004 ENT	TITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/14/2006 (effective date)	/ 9/14/2011 (end date)		
	COMPLIANCE STATUS				
☑ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-Co	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE		
	LASSIFICATION - Rule y one box in A)	62-213.300 FAC			
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 3. Existing large area source		transfer only, $x < 200$ gal both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr	dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)		
dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, 14	ly, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr $40 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr before $12/9/91$)	dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 1$ transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1$ both types, $140 \le x \le 1,80$ (constructed on or after 1	,800 gal/yr 00 gal/yr		
drop store/out	General Permit to f business/petroleum ds above limits				
B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 38.6 gallons.					

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ☑ only one box					
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)			
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	□Yes □No □N/A			
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A			
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A			
5.	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□Yes □ No □ N/A			
	RT IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)				
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source , no controls are requi	ired. Proceed to Part V.			
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.				
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	quipped with a refrigerated			
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large</u> <u>area</u> & <u>new sources</u> :	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	Yes No			
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A			
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A			
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	- ⊠Yes □No			
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A			
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	⊠Yes □No			

	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
B. Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	Yes No					
2. Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	□Yes □ No □N/A					
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A					
3. Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	- □Yes □ No ⊠ N/A					
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes No N/A					
4. Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend,						
contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	- ☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A					
5. Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual	Yes No N/A					
condenser coils?						
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?						
	- □Yes □ No ⊠ N/A					
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?						
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	- □Yes □ No ☑ N/A (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official:	-					
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	-					
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	-					
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	-					
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official: 1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-					
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official: 1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-					
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official: 1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-					
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official: 1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-					
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Does the responsible official: 1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-					

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check \square only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?				
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	-			
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves	uck cookers Yes No N/A			
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official?				
a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor) d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tule) Halogen leak detector	b)			
ROBERT J. STEWART 12/21/2006				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/07			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: Need to fax receipt for Nov. 2006. Also investigated complaint of PERC odors leaving the facility and affecting a residence at 857 97th Avenue North in Naples. Inspection did not confirm any PERC odors leaving the facility and no leaks from the dry cleaning machine were noted during the inspection of the facility. The area around the residence filing the complaint was checked before and after the facilty's inspection and no PERC odors were noted. It was observed roofing tar was being applied to a commercial building on Tamiami Trail just two blocks south of the residence which may be one possible source of the odors noted at the complaintant's residence on 97th Avenue North.