

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 19, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested pcc@zebra.net

Mr. John Knott Nine Mile Cleaners 662 South Wilson Avenue Mobile, Alabama 36617

Dear Mr. Knott:

On May 4, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330228. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. As a reminder, authority to operate this facility expires on March 3, 2012. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carre melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

TSHIDTOL WOTECTION
Ster Marte
FLORIDA

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	
AIRS ID#: 0330228 DATE: <u>5/4/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:50 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:09 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: NINE MILE CLEANERS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 176 E Nine Mile Rd		
PENSACOLA 32534-31	144	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN Email: CONTACT NAME: BRUTIES LINDSEY Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/3/2007 / 3/3/2012 (effective date) (end date)	Mobil	NE: (850)479-2293
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPI		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-2 (check I only one box in A)	213.300 FAC	
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3. Existing large area source \Box dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit \Box d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	 2. <u>New small area sour</u> dry-to-dry only, x < 1 transfer only, x < 200 both types, x < 140 g (constructed on or aff 4. New large area sour dry-to-dry only, 140 f transfer only, 200 ≤ both types, 140 ≤ x (constructed on or aff 	140 gal/yr 0 gal/yr gal/yr fter 12/9/91) rce \square $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr $x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr $x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 50.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check ☑ x for each o	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC				

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. **Complete section A. below.**

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		`	check ☑ x for each q	only one uestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	□ No	N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	L No	N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend,				
	contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check ☑ x for each c	only one Juestion)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each c	uestion)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while	e the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible	e leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes No		N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbo	n detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the	2
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? ☑ Leak log documentation ☑ RO Assurances ☑ On-site observation □ other Explain other : 					
Jennifer Waltrip	May 4, 2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection					
/s/	May 2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: On May 4, 2011, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of Nine Mile Cleaners located in Escambia County. Ms. Bruties Lindsey was available to assist during the inspection. Ms. Lindsey produced logs which detailed yearly perc purchased with running annual totals for each month for calendar year 2010. According to Ms. Lindsey, the records for calendar year 2011 had been sent off for insurance purposes. I notified Ms. Lindsey that a copy of the records shall be retained onsite and available for inspection at all times. Receipts for purchases in 2010 and 2011 were available for review. The logs also included weekly inspections, leak checks, repairs and temperature checks. The records for January 2011 through April 2011 were faxed to the Department on May 5, 2011. The rolling totals did not appear to be calculated correctly, so records for 2010 were requested for a more thorough review. Following review of the calculations, it was determined that a follow-up inspection was needed to compare receipts to calendar entries. The follow-up inspection was conducted					

failure to enter all perc purchase amounts, transcription errors, and two purchases on the calendar did not have a receipt. The calendars were updated and the rolling perc total was still within limits. The requirement to keep these calendars up to date and to make sure the entries are correct was discussed with Ms. Lindsey.

As a reminder, authority to operate this facility expires on March 3, 2012. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.